Public Agenda Briefing
Forum – 10 November 2020

Our Vision

Connected Communities, Valuing Nature and Creating our Future Together

Core Values

Service We deliver excellent service by actively engaging and listening to each other.

 Respect We trust and respect each other by valuing our differences, communicating openly and showing integrity in all we do.

 Diversity We challenge ourselves by keeping our minds open and looking for all possibilities and opportunities.

 Ethics We provide honest, open, equitable and responsive leadership by demonstrating high standards of ethical behaviour.

Aspirational Values

Creativity We create and innovate to improve all we do.

Courage We make brave decisions and take calculated risks to lead us to a bold and bright future.

Prosperity We will ensure our District has a robust economy through a mixture of industrial, commercial, service and home based enterprises.

Harmony We will retain our natural assets in balance with our built environment.

Our simple guiding principle will be to ensure everything we do will make Kalamunda socially, environmentally and economically sustainable

kalamunda.wa.gov.au
INFORMATION FOR THE PUBLIC ATTENDING PUBLIC AGENDA BRIEFING

Agenda Briefing Forums will involve Elected Members, employees as determined by the Chief Executive Officer and external advisors (where appropriate) and will be open to the public. The Briefing Session will be held in the Function Room of the City of Kalamunda commencing at 6.30pm.

Agenda Briefing Forums will provide the opportunity for Elected Members to be equally informed and seek additional information on matters prior to the presentation of such matters to the next Ordinary Council Meeting for formal consideration and decision.
PROCEDURES FOR PUBLIC AGENDA BRIEFING FORUMS

The following procedures will apply to all Public Agenda Briefing Forums that are conducted by the City of Kalamunda:

a) Public Agenda Briefing Forums will be open to the public except for matters of a confidential nature. The guide in determining those matters of a confidential nature shall be in accordance with the *Local Government Act 1995* (WA).

b) Dates and times for Public Agenda Briefing Forums will be set more than one (1) week in advance where practicable, and appropriate notice given to the public.

c) The Chief Executive Officer will ensure timely written notice and an agenda for each Public Agenda Briefing Forum will be provided to all Elected Members, members of the public and external advisors (where appropriate).

d) Ordinarily, the Mayor is to be the Presiding Member at Public Agenda Briefing Forums. However, should Elected Members wish to rotate the role of Presiding Member for a particular meeting, those Elected Members present may select, by consensus, a Presiding Member for the relevant Public Agenda Briefing Forum from amongst themselves to preside at that Public Agenda Briefing Forum.

e) Relevant employees of the City of Kalamunda will be available to make a presentation or respond to questions on matters listed on the agenda for the Public Agenda Briefing Forum.

f) All Elected Members will be given a fair and equal opportunity to participate in the Public Agenda Briefing Forum.

g) The Presiding Member will ensure that time is made available to allow for all matters of relevance to be covered.

h) Elected Members, employees and relevant consultants shall disclose their interests on any matters listed for the Public Agenda Briefing Forum. When disclosing an interest the following is required:

   i. Interests are to be disclosed in accordance with the provisions of the *Local Government Act 1995*, the *Local Government (Rules of Conduct) Regulations 2007* and the City's *Code of Conduct*.

   ii. Elected Members disclosing a financial interest will not participate in that part of the forum relating to the matter to which their interest applies and shall depart the room.

   iii. Employees with a financial interest in a matter may also consider it appropriate to depart the room when the matter is being considered, however there is no legislative requirement to do so.

i) Minutes shall be kept of all Public Agenda Briefing Forums. As no decisions are made at a Public Agenda Briefing Forum, the minutes need only be a general record of the items covered but shall record any disclosure of interests as declared by individuals. A copy of the minutes is to be attached to the following Ordinary Council Meeting for Council to review and confirm as being a true and accurate summary of the preceding forum.
j) At any Public Agenda Briefing Forum, Elected Members may foreshadow a request to the Chief Executive Officer for the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a report on a matter they feel is appropriate to be raised and which is to be presented at a future Public Agenda Briefing Forum.

k) Requests of this nature may not be accepted by the Chief Executive Officer at forums, but instead, Elected Members wishing to formalise such a foreshadowed request, shall submit a Notice of Motion to that effect to the Chief Executive Officer in accordance with the City’s Standing Orders Local Law 2015 (City’s Standing Orders)

PROCEDURES FOR PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Questions Asked Verbally

Members of the public are invited to ask questions at Public Agenda Briefing Forums.

a) Questions asked at a Public Agenda Briefing Forum must relate to a matter contained on the agenda.

b) A register will be provided for those persons wanting to ask questions to enter their name. Persons will be requested to come forward in the order in which they are registered, and to give their name and full address.

c) Public question time will be limited to two (2) minutes per member of the public, with a limit of two (2) verbal questions per member of the public.

d) Statements are not to precede the asking of a question during public question time. Statements should be made during public submissions.

e) Members of the public are encouraged to keep their questions brief to enable everyone who desires to ask a question to have the opportunity to do so.

f) Public question time will be allocated a minimum of 30 minutes. Public question time is declared closed following the expiration of the allocated 30 minute time period, or earlier if there are no further questions.

g) The Presiding Member may extend public question time in intervals of 10 minutes, but the total time allocated for public question time is not to exceed 50 minutes in total.

h) Questions are to be directed to the Presiding Member and shall be asked politely, in good faith, and are not to be framed in such a way as to reflect adversely or to be defamatory to any particular Elected Member or City of Kalamunda employee.

i) The Presiding Member shall decide whether to:
   i. accept or reject any question and his/her decision shall be final;
   ii. nominate a City of Kalamunda employee to respond to the question (who make take such question on notice in which case, provision of a response shall be in accordance with the City’s Standing Orders); or
iii. take a question on notice (in which case, a written response will be provided as soon as possible and included in the agenda of the next Ordinary Council Meeting).

j) Where an Elected Member is of the opinion that a member of the public is:
   i. asking a question at a Public Agenda Briefing Forum that is not relevant to a matter listed on the agenda; or
   ii. making a statement during public question time,
they may bring it to the attention of the Presiding Member who will make a ruling.

k) Questions and any responses will be summarised and included in the minutes of the meeting.

l) It is not intended that question time should be used as a means to obtain information that would not otherwise be made available if the information was sought from the City's records under Section 5.94 of the Local Government Act 1995 (WA) (LG Act) or the Freedom of Information Act 1992 (FOI Act).

m) Where the response to a question(s) would require a substantial commitment of the City's resources, the Chief Executive Officer will determine that it is an unreasonable impost upon the City of Kalamunda and may refuse to provide it. The Chief Executive Officer will advise the member of the public that the information may be sought in accordance with the FOI Act.

PROCEDURES FOR PUBLIC STATEMENT TIME

a) Members of the public are invited to make statements at Briefing Forums.

b) Statements made at a Briefing Forum must relate to a matter contained in the agenda.

c) A register will be provided for those persons wanting to make a statement to enter their name. Persons will be requested to come forward in the order in which they are registered, and to give their name and full address.

d) Public Statement Time will be limited to two (2) minutes per member of the public.

e) Members of the public are encouraged to keep their statements brief to enable everyone who desires to make a statement to have the opportunity to do so.

f) Public Statement Time will be allocated a maximum time of ten (10) minutes. Public Statement Time Is declared closed following the ten (10) minute allocated time period, or earlier if there are no further statements.

g) Statements are to be directed to the Presiding member and are to be made politely in good faith and are not to be framed in such a way as to reflect adversely or be defamatory on a particular Elected Member or City of Kalamunda employee.
h) Where an Elected Member is of the opinion that a member of the public is make a statement at a Briefing Forum that is not relevant to a matter listed on the agenda, they may bring it to the attention of the Presiding Member who will make a ruling.

i) A member of the public attending a Briefing Forum may present a written statement rather than make the statement verbally if he or she so wishes.

j) Statements will be summarising and included in the notes of the Briefing Forum.

Questions in Writing

a) Questions must relate to a matter contained in the Agenda Briefing Forum agenda.

b) The City will accept a maximum of five written questions per member of the public. To ensure equality and consistency, each part of a multi-part question will be treated as a question in its own right.

c) Questions lodged by the close of business on the working day immediately prior to the scheduled Agenda Briefing Forum will be responded to, where possible, at the Agenda Briefing Forum. These questions, and their responses, will be distributed to Elected Members and made available to the public in written form at the meeting.

d) The Presiding Member shall decide to accept or reject any written question and his/her decision shall be final. Where there is any concern about a question being offensive, defamatory or the like, the Presiding Member will make a determination in relation to the question. Questions determined as offensive, defamatory or the like will not be published. Where the Presiding Member rules questions to be out of order, an announcement to this effect will be made at the meeting, including the reason(s) for the decision.

e) The Presiding Member may rule questions out of order where they are substantially the same as questions previously submitted and responded to.

f) Written questions unable to be responded to at the Public Agenda Briefing Forum will be taken on notice. In this case, a written response will be provided as soon as possible and included on the agenda of the next Ordinary Council Meeting.

g) A person who submits written questions may also ask questions at a Public Agenda Briefing Forum and questions asked verbally may be different to those submitted in writing.

h) Questions and any response will be summarised and included in the minutes of the meeting.

i) It is not intended that question time should be used as a means to obtain information that would not be made available if it was sought from the City's records under Section 5.94 of LG Act or the FOI Act.
j) Where the response to a question(s) would require a substantial commitment of the City's resources, the Chief Executive Officer will determine that it is an unreasonable impost upon the City and may refuse to provide it. The Chief Executive Officer will advise the member of the public that the information may be sought in accordance with the FOI Act.

Questions of Clarification

Members of the public may ask questions of clarification at Public Agenda Briefing Forums.

a) Questions of clarification asked at a Public Agenda Briefing Forum must relate to a matter contained on the agenda.

b) Questions of clarification will be limited to two (2) minutes per member of the public, with a limit of two (2) verbal questions per member of the public.

c) The period at which members of the public may ask questions of clarification must follow the presentation of reports.

d) Statements are not to precede the asking of a question of clarification. Statements should be made during public submissions.

e) The period for questions of clarification will be allocated a minimum of 15 minutes. This time is declared closed following the expiration of the allocated 15 minute time period, or earlier if there are no further questions. The Presiding Member may extend public question time in intervals of 5 minutes, but the total time allocated for public question time is not to exceed 30 minutes in total.

f) Questions of clarification will otherwise be governed by the same requirements and procedures as set out above from 5.1(i) to 5.1(n).

Acknowledgement of Traditional Owners

We wish to acknowledge the traditional custodians of the land we are meeting on, the Whadjuk Noongar people. We wish to acknowledge their Elders’ past, present and future and respect their continuing culture and the contribution they make to the life of this City and this Region.

Emergency Procedures

Please view the position of the Exits, Fire Extinguishers and Outdoor Assembly Area as displayed on the wall of Council Chambers.

In case of an emergency follow the instructions given by City Personnel.

We ask that you do not move your vehicle as this could potentially block access for emergency services vehicles.

Please remain at the assembly point until advised it is safe to leave.
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1. Official Opening

2. Attendance, Apologies and Leave of Absence

3. Declarations of Interest

3.1. Disclosure of Financial and Proximity Interests
   a. Members must disclose the nature of their interest in matter to be discussed at the meeting. (Section 5.56 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.)
   
   b. Employees must disclose the nature of their interest in reports or advice when giving the report or advice to the meeting. (Section 5.70 of the *Local Government Act 1995*.)

3.2. Disclosure of Interest Affecting Impartiality
   a. Members and staff must disclose their interest in matters to be discussed at the meeting in respect of which the member or employee had given or will give advice.

4. Announcements by the Member Presiding Without Discussion

5. Public Question Time

   *Public question time will be allocated a maximum of 10 minutes and will be limited to two (2) minutes per member of the public, with a limit of two (2) verbal questions per member of the public.*

   *Statements are not to precede the asking of a question during public question time.*

   *Statements should be made during public submissions.*

   *For the purposes of Minuting, these questions and answers will be summarised.*

6. Public Statement Time

   *A period of maximum 10 minutes is provided to allow public statements from the gallery on matters relating to a matter contained on the agenda or the functions of Council.*

   *Public Statement Time will be limited to two (2) minutes per member of the public.*

   *Public Statement Time is declared closed following the 10 minute allocated time period, or earlier if there are no further statements.*

   *For the purposes of Minuting, these statements will be summarised.*
7. Public Submissions Received in Writing

8. Petitions Received

9. Confidential Items Announced But Not Discussed

9.1 Crystal Brook Concept Plan - Consideration of Submissions and Proposed Modifications – Confidential

Reason for Confidentiality: Local Government Act 1995 (WA) Section 5.23 (2) (b) - "the personal affairs of any person."

9.2 Library Services Review – Confidential Attachment - Library Services Review Report

Reason for Confidentiality: Local Government Act 1995 (WA) Section 5.23 (2) (a) - "a matter affecting an employee or employees."
Reason for Confidentiality: Local Government Act 1995 (WA) Section 5.23 (2) (h) - "such other matters as may be prescribed."
10. Reports to Council

10.1. Development Services Reports

10.1.1. Crystal Brook Concept Plan - Consideration of Submissions and Proposed Modifications

Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Items</th>
<th>OCM 195/2019, OCM 79/2019, OCM 35/2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Directorate</td>
<td>Development Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Unit</td>
<td>Strategic Planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Reference</td>
<td>PG-MRS-024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>Various</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachments
1. Schedule of Proposed Modifications [10.1.1.1 - 8 pages]
2. Proposed Modified Concept Plan [10.1.1.2 - 1 page]
3. Draft Concept Plan [10.1.1.3 - 1 page]
4. Draft Concept Plan Report [10.1.1.4 - 56 pages]
5. Community Engagement Report - Preliminary Engagement and Visioning [10.1.1.5 - 176 pages]
7. Submissions Table [10.1.1.7 - 168 pages]
8. Schedule of Planning Requirements [10.1.1.8 - 2 pages]

TYPE OF REPORT

- ☐ Advocacy
  When Council is advocating on behalf of the community to another level of government/body/agency

- ☑ Executive
  When Council is undertaking is substantive role of direction setting and oversight (e.g. accepting tenders, adopting plans and budgets

- ☐ Information
  For Council to note

- ☐ Legislative
  Includes adopting Local Laws, Town Planning Schemes and Policies. When Council determines a matter that directly impacts a person's rights and interests where the principles of natural justice apply. Examples include town planning applications, building licences, other permits or licences issued under other Legislation or matters that could be subject to appeal to the State Administrative Tribunal
**Kalamunda Advancing Strategic Community Plan to 2027**

**Priority 3: Kalamunda Develops**  
**Objective 3.1** - To plan for sustainable population growth.  
**Strategy 3.1.1** - Plan for diverse and sustainable housing, community facilities and industrial development to meet changing social and economic needs.

**Priority 3: Kalamunda Develops**  
**Objective 3.4** - To be recognised as a preferred tourism destination.  
**Strategy 3.4.1** - Facilitate, support and promote, activities and places to visit.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

1. The purpose of this report is to consider the draft Crystal Brook Concept Plan and Report, outcomes of community engagement and public advertising and the proposed recommended modifications to the Concept Plan and Report.

2. Community engagement was undertaken between November 2019 and March 2020 which included a survey, vision workshop and two co-design workshops. The draft Concept Plan and Report was publicly advertised and included an online open house session attended by 18 community members, a feedback form with 50 responses and 215 written submissions.

3. It is recommended that Council note the outcomes of community engagement and public advertising and acknowledge there are a range of views on the future planning for the project area and the outcomes of the Ecological Report. It is also requested the Chief Executive Officer make modifications to the Concept Plan and Report in accordance with Attachment 1, publish the modified document on the City's website and inform all landowners within the project area once completed. Also noting the strategic outcomes of the Concept Plan and Report, as proposed to be modified. Further planning for the project is to cease as outlined in Option 2 of the Council Report.
BACKGROUND

4. **Land Details:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Land Area</th>
<th>Approx. 400ha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Local Planning Scheme Zone</td>
<td>Special Rural and Rural Composite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Regional Scheme Zone</td>
<td>Rural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. **Locality Plan:**

6. The Crystal Brook (formerly known as Wattle Grove South in previous reports) project area is within the suburb of Wattle Grove, generally bounded by Welshpool Road East, Lewis Road and Hartfield Park to the north, Fontano Road, Kelvin Road and the City of Gosnells local government boundary to the east and south, and Tonkin Highway to the west.

7. The City's Local Planning Strategy 2010 identifies part of Crystal Brook as an Urban Investigation area.
8. The Western Australian Planning Commission's (WAPC) North-East Sub-Regional Planning Framework (Framework), adopted in 2018, identifies the majority of Crystal Brook as an Urban Expansion area. An eastern portion of Crystal Brook is identified as Urban Investigation subject to key considerations.

9. The purpose of the Framework is to provide guidance for:
   a) The preparation of amendments to the Perth metropolitan and Peel region schemes, local planning strategies/schemes, district and local structure plans, and activity centre plans.
   b) The staging and sequencing of urban development to inform public investment in regional community, social and service infrastructure.

10. The Framework identifies the following key considerations for the Crystal Brook Urban Investigation Area:
   a) Geotechnical analysis/land suitability to provide connections to reticulated wastewater services
   b) Bushfire risk
   c) Protection of significant environmental attributes

11. In September 2017, the City appointed a planning consultant to undertake the Wattle Grove Feasibility Study. The Study was completed in May 2018 and presented to Council in July 2018 to consider the recommendations of the Study.
   a. NOTE the Wattle Grove South Feasibility Study, as outlined in Attachment 1.
   b. SUPPORT continuing with the next phases of planning, subject to community consultation on the land use options as part of the preparation of the draft District Structure Plan.

13. The community consultation process undertaken during August and September 2018, included two workshops and a survey.

14. The Crystal Brook project was presented to a Special Council Meeting (SCM) on Monday 22 October 2018 to determine the next phase in the project. The resolution of Council was as follows: (SCM 177/2018)

   Alternative Motion 2:

   That Council:

   a. ACCEPTS the community consultation outcomes shown in Attachments 1, 2 and 3.
   b. NOTES that community views vary in relation to the potential for commercial / light industry uses south of Crystal Brook Road, with most participants and submitters stating opposition to the proposal.
   c. NOTES that some community views support various forms of residential or a mixture of residential and commercial / light industry uses.
   d. NOTES the community engagement outcomes in relation to the environmental values of the area.
   e. REQUEST the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a report to Council, by May 2019, detailing the process and requirements, including cost, for establishing a Consultative Community Committee of Council to consider recommendations for the future of Wattle Grove South.

15. A Special Electors Meeting (SEM) was called on 3 December 2018. The purpose of the meeting is as listed in the Public Notice of the Special Elector's Meeting published on 13 November 2018, being:

   a. To consider the following motion in a Petition from Electors of the City of Kalamunda:

   “The Electors here present call upon the Council to immediately cease all efforts to rezone up to 310 hectares of the area described as Wattle Grove South in the draft Industrial Development Strategy for industrial purposes
in order to reflect the outcome of recent community consultation which shows that an overwhelming majority of residents want Council to reject this environmentally destructive land use option”.

A vote from electors was undertaken, with the result being 173 votes in favour of the motion and 17 votes being against the motion.

16. The following motion was adopted at the 26 February 2019 Ordinary Meeting of Council: (OCM35/2019)

*That Council:*

a. REQUEST the Chief Executive Officer to cease investigations into the establishment of a Consultative Community Committee of Council to consider recommendations for the future of Wattle Grove South.

b. REQUEST the Chief Executive Officer to create a partial budget allocation in the 2018/2019 Mid-year Review, to commence the process, and the balance to be allocated in the 2019/2020 annual budget for engaging the services of suitably qualified consultants to conduct a comprehensive community consultation program to determine the level of community support for a variety of land use concept plans that incorporate the following design principles:
   
   i. Exclude any general or light industrial land uses.
   
   ii. Reflect and acknowledge existing lifestyle and recreational opportunities of the area.
   
   iii. A high-quality residential outcome that includes a range of densities.
   
   iv. Include an appropriate amount of commercial development based on best practice design principles, including but not limited to public transport, technology, educational, medical and retail opportunities.
   
   v. Retain existing vegetation and tree canopy cover where possible.
   
   vi. Consider tourism development opportunities that embrace the environmental, social and financial aspects of the City of Kalamunda.
   
   vii. Provide for modern sustainable housing design principles including renewable energy capture, water sensitive urban design, storage, sharing capabilities and smart city initiatives.
   
   viii. The subject area ‘Wattle Grove South’ to also include the land to the north of Welshpool Road East bounded by Tonkin Hwy, Lewis Road and Hartfield Golf Course.
17. The City prepared a scope of works in response to the resolution on 26 February 2019. On 30 April 2019 Council resolved (OCM 79/2019) as follows:

*That Council:*

a) **ENDORSES the scope of works as outlined in Attachment 1 subject to:** The addition of the Department of Planning Perth Foothills Structure Plan (1992) as an additional ‘Existing Reports and Studies’ under Section 2.1 Background Research / Existing Reports and Studies.

b) **REQUEST the Chief Executive Officer to seek quotations in accordance with the scope of works as outlined in Attachment 1 subject to:** The addition of the Department of Planning Perth Foothills Structure Plan (1992) as an additional ‘Existing Reports and Studies’ under Section 2.1 Background Research / Existing Reports and Studies.

18. On 8 May 2019, the City issued an Request For Quotation (RFQ) via to the Western Australian Local Government Association (WALGA) eQuotes panel. The request closed on 31 May 2019. Six WALGA preferred suppliers were invited to provide submissions and four responses were received.

19. On 27 August 2019, at the Ordinary Council Meeting (OCM 194/2019), the Council resolved to:

*That Council ACCEPT the proposal submitted by Roberts Day for Tender (eQuote) 2019-05 Wattle Grove South Concept Planning and Community Engagement in accordance with the proposal documentation for the lump sum value of $79,850 (excl GST).*

20. In November 2019, Roberts Day commenced work on the Crystal Brook Concept Planning and Community Engagement project. The project area was renamed during the community engagement process from Wattle Grove South to Crystal Brook. These two names may be referred to interchangeably during the report, however both refer to the same project area.

21. During the course of 2019/20 the City was preparing its draft Local Housing Strategy 2020 (LHS2020). The City’s draft LHS2020 was advertised mid-2020. The draft LHS2020 identifies Crystal Brook as an Investigation Area. The final LHS2020 will note Council’s determination on the Concept Plan’s strategic intent, principles and content for the project area.
DETAILS AND ANALYSIS

22. **Community Survey**
   During November – December 2019 a survey was sent to all landowners and surrounding residents of Crystal Brook. 109 surveys were completed. Approximately 75% of the respondents were residents of the area and of the 25% of respondents not residing in Crystal Brook, one quarter maintained a property or business interest in the area. See Attachment 5 for survey results.

23. The survey identified a wide diversity of views with respect to the future of the area. Two clear and contrasting views were evident with regard to wanting, or not wanting, to sell or develop their property at some point in the future.
24. There was shared support for protection of natural vegetation, promoting sustainability and maintaining a spacious character which avoided conventional suburban development.

25. Those who indicated support for residential development identified limits / restrictions such as minimum lot sizes (1000sq, 2000sqm, 1ha, 2ha etc.) and more flexibility with what landowners can do on their property (tourism or higher density housing).
26. Following many suggestions that the name 'Wattle Grove South' was unpopular with the community, the question was asked what the project name should be. Crystal Brook was a clear preferred name based on survey results.

27. Vision Workshop
A Vision Workshop was held on 5 February 2020 and was attended by 53 community members. Attendees included a mix of residents from throughout the study area in addition to other interested parties and representatives of community groups. The Vision Workshop Outcomes can be viewed in Attachment 5. There were five exercises based on five different themes:

a) Streets & Open Spaces
b) Housing & Development
c) Business & Community Uses
d) Sustainability & Environment
e) Spatial & Land Use Planning

28. Co-Design Workshops
Two co-design workshops were held on the 11 and 14 March 2020 with a total of 42 participants. The Co-Design process yielded a broad range of feedback and design outputs which were ultimately incorporated into the draft Concept.
Plan. Planning strategies developed following the Vision Workshop were presented and reviewed by the community. Results from the co-design workshops can be viewed in Attachment 5.

29. Conceptual designs for key sites based on the planning strategies and relating to the most commonly occurring lot sizes in the study area were then presented and workshopped in detail, with key design refinements and qualifications identified. Three site scenarios were explored:

   a) 1ha site.
   b) 2ha site
   c) 4ha site.

30. A collaborative drawing exercise provided an opportunity for community members to directly guide the design team in the preparation of 6 separate community concept plans. These plans were developed through a planned sequence of key issues including landscape, streets and connectivity, lot sizes and commercial activity.

31. **Strategy Review**
Through the Co-Design process, participating stakeholders independently Reviewed, and voted on, suggested implementation strategies related to the project vision and principles. In total, 36 completed forms were received from the Co-Design participant group. The vision, principles, and strategies were implemented into the draft Concept Plan Report (Attachment 5).
32. **Vision Feedback**

33. **Strategy Feedback (Crystal Brook is a Living Landscape)**
34. **Strategy Feedback (Crystal Brook is a Tranquil Retreat)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Love This</th>
<th>Like This</th>
<th>Could Live With This</th>
<th>Don't Like This</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Rural Character and Aesthetics</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Rural Business guidelines</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Home gardens and self-production</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Natural tourism opportunities</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Wattlebird Trail</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Sensitive street design</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Control commercial development</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Reduce freight traffic</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

35. **Strategy Feedback (Crystal Brook Grows Naturally)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Love This</th>
<th>Like This</th>
<th>Could Live With This</th>
<th>Don't Like This</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) No traditional/conventional Subdivision Plan</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Like-based planning process</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Green setbacks and interfaces</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Natural resource stewardship</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Promote land-owner-led change</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Avoid targets and timelines</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
36. **Strategy Feedback (Crystal Brook is Self-Sufficient)**

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Love This</th>
<th>Like This</th>
<th>Could Live With This</th>
<th>Don't Like This</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Housing Construction and Materials</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Rural Hub</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Energy Generation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Wastewater Management</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Water Capture and reuse</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Sustainable Transport</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

37. **Strategy Feedback (Crystal Brook Values its Community)**

```
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Love This</th>
<th>Like This</th>
<th>Could Live With This</th>
<th>Don't Like This</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Amenity Principle</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Landowner Choice</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Consultative Decision-making</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Development Approval Process</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Local Placemaking</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

38. **Ecological Report**

During the Spring of 2019, the City engaged an environmental consultant to undertake ecological surveys - Level 2 Flora and Vegetation Surveys, Level 1 Fauna Surveys and Targeted Black Cockatoo Survey. The outcomes of these surveys can be viewed in Attachment 9 - Ecological Reports.
Permission to access landowner’s properties was requested by the City for the consultant to undertake the surveys. Permission was sought from 262 private properties, with 91 landowners granting permission. Limitations of the survey are noted in the report.

The desktop assessment was completed to identify the flora, fauna and communities of conservation significance that may occur in the Crystal Brook area. The results identified 14 communities, 51 flora species and 26 fauna species of conservation significance that may occur in the survey area. Environmental values were ascertained in the ecological surveys.

The key outcomes from the ecological surveys are outlined below:

a) Banksia Woodlands of the Swan Coastal Plain (Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999 [EPBC Act] Endangered) was mapped in three patches extending across 2.41 ha within the survey area.

b) Three WA Threatened Ecological Communities (TECs) and one WA Priority Ecological Community (PEC) were identified across 4.55 ha, including WA TEC B. attenuata over species rich dense shrublands (SCP20a); WA TEC B. attenuata and/or E. marginata woodlands of the Eastern SCP (SCP20b); WA TEC Corymbia calophylla – Eucalyptus marginata woodlands on sandy clay soils of the southern SCP (SCP3b); and WA PEC Banksia dominated woodlands of the SCP.

c) Conospermum undulatum (EPBC Act Vulnerable, WA Vulnerable) was recorded on two properties comprising 95 individuals. Isopogon drummondii (WA P3) populations were recorded at the same two locations comprising 160 individuals.

d) Three fauna species of conservation significance were recorded including the Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo Calyptorhynchus banksii (EPBC Act and BC Act Vulnerable), Carnaby’s Cockatoo Calyptorhynchus latirostris (EPBC Act and BC Act Endangered) and the Quenda Isoodon fusciventer (WA P4).

e) A total of 730 breeding and potential breeding trees were recorded including 17 trees with one or more hollows considered suitable for breeding black cockatoos (27 hollows in total).

f) Foraging habitat quality was mapped for Carnaby’s Cockatoo, Baudin’s Cockatoo Calyptorhynchus baudinii and the Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo. This included 41.14 ha of Very High and High Quality foraging habitat for Carnaby's Cockatoo and Baudin's Cockatoo, and 33.52 ha of Very High and High Quality foraging habitat for the Forest Red-tailed Black Cockatoo.

g) It is likely that the other properties where access wasn’t given to be surveyed may also have significant environmental value.
42. An Environmental Values Assessment of the project area was undertaken, taking into consideration the ecology survey outcomes and the inclusion of areas outside the ecology survey boundary for which a series of assumptions were made (properties that were not accessed). The mapping of this assessment can be viewed in Attachment 9. The categories for the environmental values assessment are as outlined below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| High     | - Good connectivity and/or suitable size for maintaining ecological integrity  
           - BC foraging and/or breeding trees  
           - All populations of C. undulatum that were recorded during the survey  
           - Incorporates all TECs with the exception of two patches that are <0.2 ha which are captured as Medium  
           - Includes 90% of areas mapped as “native vegetation” with exception of areas <0.2 ha with poor connectivity. | 35.13 ha |
| Medium   | - Connects high value areas to adjacent high value areas as “stepping stone”  
           - Includes BC foraging and/or breeding  
           - May include native vegetation (understory) species | 6.88 ha |
| Low      | - Mostly cleared open areas or stands of trees over grassland  
           - Includes planted gardens and hardscape | 301.36 ha |

43. **Draft Concept Plan**

The draft Crystal Brook Concept Plan was prepared in response to the outcomes of community engagement and the Ecological Report, including the survey, vision workshop and co-design workshops. The draft Concept Plan can be viewed in Attachment 3.

44. The key features of the draft Concept Plan include:

a) Potential Rural Hub.
b) Potential Open Space.
c) Indicative Key Road Connections.
d) Indicative Public Paths.
e) Moderate and High Retention Value Vegetation (captured from the Environmental Values Assessment within the Ecological Report).
f) Four Residential Typologies:
   i. Residential 1 (Landscape Protection)
   ii. Residential 2 (Landscape Enhancement)
   iii. Residential 3 (Landscape Transition)
   iv. Residential 4 (Landscape Unconstrained)
g) Eco-Business Corridor.

45. Four ‘Landscape Residential’ typologies are broadly identified to guide development (subject to further planning and consultation) based on community feedback, environmental constraints and preliminary servicing investigations. Landscape Protection includes the most significant vegetation and is suited to large lots with a conservation focus. Landscape Enhancement is aligned to key ecological corridors and flora of moderate value where re-vegetation is encouraged. Landscape Transition identifies
areas generally suited to lots in the order of 2,000sqm but requiring sensitive interface treatments. Landscape Unconstrained identifies areas where environmental and servicing constraints do not impede development, subject to meeting the strategic objectives of the concept plan.

46. **Technical Considerations**
The draft Concept Plan Report (Attachment 4) identifies technical considerations which will require further detailed consideration though future stages of planning. These Technical Considerations are identified below:

a) Primary vehicle entries require modified intersection treatments to address traffic movement issues, subject to further detailed investigations at a later date.

b) Limited new road connections to Welshpool Rd East but connectivity at Lewis Rd and further east preferable.

c) Development may not be possible on constrained land including landscape protection areas, Crystal Brook foreshore, powerline easement and vegetation linkages.

d) Preferred Rural Hub fronting Brentwood Rd. Possible alternative locations with Welshpool Rd East and/ or Crystal Brook Road frontages (not preferred).

e) Lot sizes to transition away from landscape protection areas with sizing subject to future planning and consideration of sewer servicing feasibility.

f) Proposed new road linkages to improve connectivity and precinct permeability to be mandated in agreed locations.

g) Opportunity for Public Open Space to provide active recreation amenity. POS incorporates areas of higher value conservation areas and co-located with Rural Hub.

h) Potential need for Primary School subject to ultimate residential densities and further Department of Education liaison. Co-location with Rural Hub preferred.

i) Protection of high retention value vegetation clusters in POS or within private ownership to be investigated further.

j) Interface and buffer with Gosnells Rural-Residential precinct to be further investigated.

k) Preliminary City of Gosnells concept for operations centre and public open space at Kelvin investigated. Road may help meet regional active space demand.
47. **Technical Plan**
A Technical Plan was prepared that incorporates the typologies and key components of the draft Concept Plan, technical considerations and the following additional features:

a) Cockatoo Habitat Trees.
b) Alternative Potential Open Space location.
c) Alternative Potential Rural Hub location.
d) Green Corridors / Street Character Protection. This includes the consideration of 20m landscaped buffers to existing roads.

48. **Further Investigation**
The Concept Plan and associated implementation strategies are preliminary ideas only which require further planning investigation, liaison with key decision-makers and incorporation into formal planning instruments before they can be given effect. These key considerations are:

a) Sustainability Requirements.
b) Servicing Methodology.
c) Residential Densities and Size.
d) Public Open Space Provision.
e) Road Network Expansion.
f) School Site and Other Services.
g) Governance and Implementation.
h) Rural Hub Delivery.
i) Bushfire Risk.

49. **Implementation Strategies**
In response to the community feedback the Implementation Strategies were prepared as part of the Concept Plan Report. The Implementation Strategies are suggested ways that the Concept Plan's vision and principles can be implemented through the planning and development framework. This range of practical measures are intended to be employed together to ensure that community expectations for the future of the area are considered.

50. Some of the suggested Implementation Strategies include but are not limited to:

a) Set a minimum lot size based on engineering/servicing requirements, precedent in area and tree retention feasibility (i.e. 2,000sqm) with specified ranges set in certain areas to ensure diversity.
b) Establish a formal planning requirement to survey, assess and retain on site trees to strengthen protection of trees and limit clearing associated with development.
c) Require that a minimum percentage of a site be replanted with native species as part of any development to actively improve local biodiversity.

d) Continue to allow boutique rural businesses but provide specific guidance potentially including an approved list of acceptable activities and strong amenity and design quality controls.

e) Promote self-sufficiency by requiring new homes to provide for urban agriculture, vegetable gardens, orcharding or other productive rural pursuits at a small scale.

f) Adopt a principle of independent lot development with green buffers at shared boundaries to avoid impacts to adjoining landowners as an alternative to conventional planning.

g) Establish high standards for housing construction and thermal performance to reduce carbon impact in construction and ongoing operation.

h) Identify a suitable location where existing and related rural activities can be transitioned to a higher amenity and community-focused service hub to reduce vehicle trips, promote walking and cycling and reduce food miles and carbon emissions while preserving the amenity of surrounding areas.

i) Establish quality-of-life supporting community facilities such as an arts studio, mens’ shed or communal park with pizza oven. Encourage the installation of artworks by local artists within private and public land.

51.

Public Advertising
Public advertising of the draft Concept Plan and associated documents commenced on 18 May 2020 and closed on 15 June 2020. The feedback was made available on www.engage.kalamunda.wa.gov.au and letters and hard copies of the feedback form were posted to landowners in the Crystal Brook area. As the public advertising occurred during the Covid-19 restrictions, the City also held an online workshop which was attended by 18 community members. During the advertising period, the City received 50 feedback form responses and 215 written submissions (see Attachment 6 – Community Engagement Report and Attachment 7 - Submission Table. Note that three submissions are included in a Confidential Attachment). Included within the submissions was a petition of 12 written signatures and 785 electronic signatures in objection to the draft Concept Plan.
52. **Feedback Form Results**
The overwhelming message from the feedback form was that the community was very dissatisfied with the draft Concept Plan and that it doesn't reflect the views of the community.

1. **How would you rate your satisfaction with the Concept Plan overall?**

![Satisfaction with... chart]

5. **How accurately do you feel the draft Concept Plan reflects the views of the local community as shared through the consultation process?**

![Views of local co... chart]

53. Question 2 sought feedback on what the community liked about the draft Concept Plan. The most common answer was nothing. A few individual responses stated they liked the location of public open spaces, retention of vegetation and changing the name to Crystal Brook. Noting that these likes were also heavily criticised in the feedback.

54. Question 3 sought feedback on what the community disliked about the draft Concept Plan. Some of the key items disliked included:

a) Onerous street setbacks of 20m.
b) Public Open Space being identified on private land.
c) Proposed roads.
d) Proposed pathways.
e) Potential rural hub.
f) Opposed increased density.
g) Min lot size of 2000sqm (both arguments – wanting this to be less and wanting it to be greater).
55. Question 4 sought feedback on the most important attribute captured in the plan. The most common answer was nothing. A few individual responses stated that the most important attribute captured was a good amount of vegetation and the concept of communal area for gardening and play areas, that there is an area recognised for business and that the plan has captured the importance of preserving and enhancing the rural lifestyle. Noting that these attributes were also heavily criticised in the feedback.

56. Question 6 sought feedback from the community on how well the draft Concept Plan captures the intent of the agreed Principles. The feedback from the community indicated that the Principles were not reflected in the draft Concept Plan.

6. How well does the draft Plan capture the intent of the agreed Principles?
Online Open House
The online open house session was held on Friday 29 May 2020 at 11am. The draft Concept Plan was presented by Roberts Day, followed by a mentimetre survey. There was also a chat forum and verbal discussion. The full results of the online session can be viewed in Attachment 6. The results of the mentimetre survey are displayed below.

58. **Do you support the Vision?**

Participants were given three options; yes, no or unsure.
59. **What do you like about the Concept Plan**

- execution needs
- concept is good
- more thought
- lovegrove is catered to
- that it ignored residents
- leighton is catered to
- indicative roads stink
- nobody listens
- not much
- nothing

Participants were able to provide any answer.

60. **What would you like to change?**

- remove the roads
- burdening properties
- indicative road layouts
- more transparency
- ability for landowners to
- remove taking people land
- have individual flexibility
- I do not want any change
- indicative roads
- please listen to us
- public open space
- in land use

Participants were able to provide any answer.
Tell us what you think

Participants were asked to rate the answers from 1-5, 1 being strongly disagree to 5 being strongly agree. The table below reflects the average response.
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Participants were asked to rate the answers from 1-5, 1 being strongly disagree to 5 being strongly agree. The table below reflects the average response.

64. **Submissions**

A total of 215 submissions on the draft Concept Plan were received. 201 submissions were from or on behalf members of the public and 14 submissions were from public authorities. Some members of the public provided multiple submissions and some properties had multiple submissions from the various members of the household. 30 of the 201 submissions were from members of the public outside the project area or immediate surrounds. See Attachment 7 for the Schedule of Submissions. Note that three submissions are included in a Confidential Attachment.

65. All submissions from members of the public, except two, stipulated a level of objection to the draft Concept Plan. All 30 submissions from outside the project area or immediate surrounds stated a preference for the area to remain rural.

66. The most common reasons for objections of the draft Concept Plan included:

a) Identification of ‘indicative roads’.
b) Identification of ‘potential open space’.
c) Identification of commercial uses – ‘Rural Hub’ and ‘Eco-Business Corridor’.
d) Resumption of land for public open space due to ‘High Retention Vegetation’ or ‘Landscape Protection’ identification.
e) Ambiguous undefined land use terms –
   i. Residential 1 (Landscape Protection),
   ii. Residential 2 (Landscape Enhancement),
   iii. Residential 3 (Landscape Transition),
iv. Residential 4 (Landscape Unconstrained),
v. Eco-Business Corridor.
f) Desire to be retained as ‘Rural’.
g) Desire to be identified as ‘Urban’.
h) Incorrect alignment of Crystal Brook creekline.
i) Significant burden on development potential caused by landscape buffers to roads and property boundaries.
j) Potential loss of environmental values.

67. Two common proforma submissions were received (some with minor differences). One proforma requested the project area be retained as Rural under the MRS and expressed the significant environmental and rural character value of the area. The other proforma requested the project area be rezoned to Urban under the MRS.

68. In addition to the proformas, there was submissions that expressed varying levels of support for retaining Rural or rezoning to Urban. The approximate breakdown of this is listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preference</th>
<th>Approx. No Submissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) Retain Rural under MRS Proforma</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) Retain Rural, no change to existing zoning and lot sizes (leave area as is)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) Retain Rural, specifics on subdivision or lot sizes not provided</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) Rural – allow for subdivision of 2000sqm or 5000sqm lots</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) Rezone to Urban under the MRS Proforma</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) Rezone to Urban, lot size preference not provided</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) Rezone to Urban under the MRS, allow for commercial</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h) Rezone to Urban under the MRS, allow for smaller lot subdivision than 2000sqm</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Objected – Land Use preference not specified</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>j) Commented - Land Use Preference not specified</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

69. The approximate majority of submissions north of Crystal Brook Road indicated support for preferences a-d. Submissions west of Welshpool Road East were approximately even between preferences a-d and e-h. Submissions south of Crystal Brook Road were approximately even between preferences a-d and e-h. Breaking this down further the approximate majority of submissions west of Victoria Road indicated
support for preferences e-h and the approximate majority of submissions east of Victoria Road indicated support for preferences a-d.

70. The submissions from the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) and Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions (DBCA) stated that consideration should be made for high value vegetation to be brought into public ownership rather than remain on private rural properties. This could be by being identified at the highest level of the planning system (MRS) or through local open space identification under the Local Planning Scheme.

71. The DPLH also expressed the importance of Structure Planning in coordinating development and provision of services and that a Development Contribution Plan may need to be implemented in unison with a Structure Plan.

72. The DPLH stated that consideration should be given to what the expected population in the project area would be at full build-out, and how this compares to the minimum density requirement of 15 dwellings per gross urban zoned hectare under both Directions 2031 and Perth and Peel @ 3.5 Million. If there is a significant undersupply compared to the State’s minimum expectations, the City would need to consider how this is to be addressed, including potential to offset the undersupply in other areas.

73. Perth Airport stated that using the National Airports Safeguarding Framework (NASF) recognised N65 contour, the area will experience up to 100 aircraft noise events above 65 decibels across an average day. Noise at this level is disruptive to a conversation, even inside a dwelling and will be unacceptable to most people.

74. Perth Airport recommends that any development in this area should have appropriate insulation to protect against future aircraft noise. The strategy to maintain the rural character by limiting density and the principle to protect residential amenity are both supported by Perth Airport.

75. In regards to reticulated sewer, Water Corporation stated that the Crystal Brook area currently falls into two approved wastewater schemes. The Forrestfield scheme which covers basically north of Crystal Brook Road and the Wattle Grove scheme which covers basically south of Crystal Brook Road. To service the whole area as per the current scheme planning would require significant infrastructure (Headworks Infrastructure) to be extended over a long distance for both areas.
76. Water Corporation stated that with the Crystal Brook area being investigated for potential development, the Water Corporation very recently investigated other options to service the whole project area from just one location. The result of that investigation has not been approved as yet and a plan does formally exist, but it is basically a change to the Wattle Grove scheme. This option requires infrastructure to be extended from near the intersection of Bickley Road and Dulwich Street up through the Maddington Kenwick Strategic Employment Area (MKSEA) and crossing north of Crystal Brook Road. This still requires significant infrastructure and significant funding.

77. **Petition**

The City received a petition which expresses objection to the draft Concept Plan and aligns with the content of the Rural proforma as outlined in the above submissions section. The petition received 12 written signatures and 785 electronic signatures. The petition has been included as a submission in its own right and is included in the submission table in Attachment 7.

78. Ten (10) of the 12 written signatures provided an address within the project area, the other 2 provided addresses from outside the project area and general surrounds. Eighteen (18) of the electronic signatures specified a Wattle Grove location. There were a large number of electronic signatures that specified a Perth, WA location that could have come from Wattle Grove. There was a large number of electronic signatures that specified locations all over Australia and all over the world.

79. **Proposed Modifications**

As a result of the significant feedback received from the community and public authorities on the draft Concept Plan and associated report, the City has prepared a schedule of proposed modifications (Attachment 1 – Schedule of Proposed Modifications).

80. A spatial representation of the recommended changes to the draft Concept Plan can be viewed in Attachment 2 – Modified Concept Plan. In summary, the recommended modifications to the draft Concept Plan are as follows:

   a) Administrative corrections.
   b) Replace the various land use typologies with Urban Landscape and Rural Landscape. Refer to Attachment 2 for proposed land use alignment.
   c) Remove indicative key roads.
   d) Remove indicative public paths.
   e) Remove potential open space.
   f) Remove potential Rural Hub.
g) Remove cadastral boundaries.
h) Amend Crystal Brook Creekline.
i) Remove landscaped buffers.
j) Add Boundary Road.
k) All other aspects retained.

81. In reference to point 80(a) the land use typologies are to be described as the following:

Rural Landscape:
Identifies areas that may have significant vegetation, areas that align with key ecological corridors and identifies areas which may be suitable for subdivision but requiring sensitive site responses and interface treatments. Lots in this area may range from 2000sqm to 1ha+. Captures an array of land uses (including but not limited to):

a) Special Rural
b) Rural Composite
c) Residential R2 (min 5000sqm), R2.5 (min 4000sqm), R5 (min 2000sqm)
   It is noted that this use typology does NOT include industrial or commercial.

Urban Landscape:
Identifies areas where environmental and servicing constraints may be present but on assessment does not, at this high level of analysis, impede development, subject to meeting the strategic objectives of the concept plan and ensuring sensitive interface treatments between land use typologies. These areas are generally suited to lots in the order of 2000sqm due to current servicing constraints. Smaller lot sizes could be explored subject to the availability of services. This use captures an array of uses (including but not limited to):

a) Residential (Starting from R2)
b) Commercial (Any commercial land is to be commensurate to the density of the population, to be defined at future detailed planning, subject to community consultation and subject to retail needs and sustainability assessment).

It is noted that this use typology does NOT include industrial.

82. Within the draft Concept Plan Report, some of the key recommended modifications include:

a) Reference to the results of public advertising.
b) Removing references to the items removed from the Concept Plan and stating that such items will be determined at a more detailed planning
stage. In regards to the Rural Hub, stating that a suitably sized non-residential centre (not industrial) commensurate to the density of the population to be defined at future detailed planning and subject to needs assessment.

c) Removing reference to green easement setbacks and instead state that as a principle generous setbacks will be encouraged to allow protection and enhancement of natural vegetation and streetscapes to be enhanced to encourage green streetscape.

d) Removing the Technical Plan.

83. To proceed with the planning for the area and capture the intended strategic outcomes of the Concept Plan and Report further detailed planning and technical studies will be required to further define the intended zonings, land use permissibilities, development controls and infrastructure (amongst other things). The planning documents and technical studies that may be required at the different stages of planning are identified in Attachment 8 – Schedule of Planning Requirements.

84. Draft Local Planning Policy 28 – Delivery of State and Local Strategies Through the Preparation of Structure Plan (LPP28) guides Council, the City's officers, and external stakeholders identifying the City's responsibilities for the preparation of structure plans in order to achieve the implementation of the Framework. In this regard the Policy states the following on the preparation of District Structure Plans (DSP):

The City may be responsible for the preparation of DSPs subject to:

a) The location of the DSP area being identified within a City Strategy or the Framework.

b) Preliminary community engagement indicating that there is general consensus on the vision for the area and need for the City to progress a DSP.

c) A Council decision is made to support the preparation of the DSP.

d) There is sufficient budget made available for the preparation of the DSP.

e) The cost of the preparation of the DSP and associated technical studies may be recouped through a future development contribution plan or other acceptable recuperation method.

85. In regards to the future planning of the area, the Council have a number of options on how to proceed. The recommended options are detailed below.
86. **Option 1 –**

**Undertake modifications to the Concept Plan and Report and initiate preparation of a District Structure Plan and MRS Amendment request.**

A budget would need to be set aside to undertake this work. Under this option, the City would be coordinating the detailed planning for the area.

87. **Pros**

a) Future vision for the area and planning framework would be established, through formal planning proposals, by the City.

b) Greater oversight by the City through the preparation of formal planning proposals for the area.

c) Proceeding with preparing a DSP would be consistent with Clause 2.1(1) of draft LPP28 which requires the location to be identified within a City Strategy or the Framework.

88. **Cons**

a) Given the varying views on the future of the area, coordinating this process would prove to be a significant challenge and require significant resources (human and financial).

b) Given the varying views on the future of the area, proceeding with preparing a DSP would be inconsistent with Clause 2.1(2) of draft LPP28 which requires general consensus on the vision for the area for the City to proceed with preparing a District Structure Plan.

c) Cost of preparing a DSP is estimated to be approx. $500,000+.

89. **Option 2 – Cease further planning for the project area and note the modified Concept Plan and Report.**

This option would see the City cease any further planning for the project area until Council resolves to recommence further planning (if it chooses to do so). This is the recommended option.

90. **Pros**

a) The strategic intent for the area, established through community engagement, is reflected in the modified Concept Plan and Report and will be given regard as part of any future planning.

b) Should landowners or a private developer wish to proceed with the detailed planning of the area they would need to have regard to the outcomes of the modified Concept Plan and Report.

c) Given the varying views on the future of the area, not proceeding with preparing a District Structure Plan would be consistent with Clause 2.1(2) of draft LPP28 which requires general consensus on the vision for the area for the City to proceed with preparing a District Structure Plan.

d) Should landowners or a private developer wish to proceed with the detailed planning of the area they would need to have regard for draft
LPP 28 Appendix 1 – Schedule of Planning Requirements, which outlines the information and tasks required to be completed through the various stages of the detailed planning process.

e) No costs to be incurred with the preparation of any further plans or studies.

f) The City would take a regulatory role in relation to the assessment of any Scheme Amendments or Local Structure Plan.

91. **Cons**

a) The City has limited oversight of the preparation of formal planning proposals for the area.

b) The modified Concept Plan and Report are non-statutory documents. Therefore, proposals can be put forward that may vary the principles and outcomes of the Concept Plan and Report and must be considered during the assessment process. Should the City not endorse proposals that vary the principles and outcomes of the modified Concept Plan and Report, the WAPC can still approve these proposals or, in the case of formal Structure Plans, they can be challenged through the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT).

92. **Option 3 – Cease detailed planning for the area and reject the outcomes of the Concept Plan and Report**

This option would see the City cease any planning of the project area until Council resolves to recommence planning on the matter (if it chooses to do so).

93. **Pros**

a) Should landowners or a private developer wish to proceed with the detailed planning of the area they would need to have regard for draft LPP 28 Appendix 1 – Schedule of Planning Requirements, which outlines the information and tasks required to be completed through the various stages of the detailed planning process.

b) Given the varying views on the future of the area, not proceeding with preparing a District Structure Plan would be consistent with Clause 2.1(2) of draft LPP28 which requires general consensus on the vision for the area for the City to proceed with preparing a District Structure Plan.

c) No costs for the City in preparing further plans or detailed planning proposals.

d) The City would request a fee for the assessment of any Scheme Amendments or Local Structure Plans.
94. **Cons**
   a) Should landowners or a private developer wish to proceed with the planning for the area they would not have to have any regard to the outcomes of the Concept Plan and Report. This would mean there is a greater range of proposals that can be prepared and considered under the ‘Urban’ designation.
   b) The City has limited oversight of the preparation of formal planning proposals for the area.
   c) Should the City not approve proposals that the City does not consider to be appropriate for the area, the WAPC can still approve these proposals or, in the case of Structure Plans, they can be challenged through the State Administrative Tribunal (SAT). There is greater likelihood of this occurring without a strategic framework established through a document such as the modified Concept Plan and Report, established through community engagement.

**STATUTORY AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS**

95. The project area is zoned Rural under the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS). To facilitate residential development, precincts or the entire area may require an MRS Amendment to rezone it to Urban. This would be determined should further detailed planning progress.

96. The project area is currently zoned a mixture of Special Rural and Rural Composite under the City’s Local Planning Scheme No.3 (LPS3). To facilitate residential development, the City will be required to progress a Scheme Amendment to rezone the area. The zoning would need to be determined at the Scheme Amendment phase and depending on Council’s decision may have due regard to the outcomes of the Concept Plan and Report. The Scheme Amendment would allow the preparation of a Structure Plan to coordinate the location of roads, land uses and other infrastructure requirements for the area.

**POLICY CONSIDERATIONS**

97. Draft LPP28 guides Council, the City’s officers and external stakeholders identifying the City’s responsibilities for the preparation of structure plans in order to achieve the implementation of the Framework. Information required and tasks to be completed at the various planning stages are outlined in Appendix of the Policy.

**STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT**

98. During November – December 2019, a survey was sent to all landowners and surrounding residents of Crystal Brook.
99. A Vision Workshop was held on 5 February 2020 and two co-design workshops were held on the 11 and 14 March 2020.

100. Public advertising of the draft Concept Plan and associated documents commenced on 18 May 2020 and closed on 15 June 2020. The feedback was made available on engage.kalamunda.wa.gov.au and letters and hard copies of the feedback form were posted to landowners in the Crystal Brook area. As the public advertising occurred during the Covid-19 restrictions, the City also held an online workshop.

101. The feedback received throughout the public advertising process has been comprehensively documented and reviewed. The proposed modifications are in response to the key matters arising from the engagement process.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

102. The preparation of the Concept Plan and Report was included in the 2019/20 budget.

103. Should the City proceed with detailed planning, budget will need to be set aside either as a budget review for the 2020/21 budget or included in the 2021/22 budget.

SUSTAINABILITY

Social Implications

104. The population of the area is expected to increase over time, resulting in an increased demand for community facilities and infrastructure. An appropriate hierarchy of community facilities and infrastructure will be explored in greater detail during the detailed planning phase to ensure that all demographic groups are accommodated.

Economic Implications

105. An increased population to the area will see demand for retail and commercial uses and provided a greater population catchment for existing businesses in the area. The necessity of non-residential land allocation within the project area will be determined during detailed planning.

Environmental Implications

106. Ecological surveys were undertaken during the Spring of 2019. These surveys identified areas of significant environmental values. The method in which these environmental values are protected will need to be determined at detailed planning stage.
107. Given participation in ecological surveys was only approx. of the land area there is need for further investigation to fully establish the complete assessment of the area.

**RISK MANAGEMENT**

108. **Risk**: The City ceases progressing planning for the area leaving the planning process open to the development industry or the State Government to progress.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

Note the key outcomes of the modified Concept Plan and Report for consideration detailed planning proposals progress, they have to have due regard to the key outcomes from the common consultation on the draft Concept Plan and Report.

109. **Risk**: The community disagrees with the outcomes of the Final Concept Plan and Report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>Almost Certain</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

Endorse the recommended modifications into the final Concept Plan and Report to reflect the key issues identified during public advertising.

110. **Risk**: The community disagrees with the recommended modifications to the Concept Plan and Report.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Significant</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

Communicate that the modifications have been made having regard for the feedback provided during community engagement and that the views of the community vary significantly.
111. **Risk**: Members of the community want planning for the area to cease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Almost Certain</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

Cease detailed planning for the project area and note the key outcomes of the modified Concept Plan and Report. Should a detailed planning proposal be submitted the City would act as a key regulatory authority and the proponent would need to have due regard for the outcomes of the Concept Plan and Report.

112. **Risk**: Members of the community want planning for the area to continue.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Almost certain</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

Should a detailed planning proposal be submitted the City would act as a key regulatory authority and the proponent would need to have due regard for the outcomes of the Concept Plan and Report.

113. **Risk**: Future development proposals will impact on environmental values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>Extreme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

Ensure any development proposals are cognisant of the environmental values and can address and manage the canopy, vegetation and wildlife values identified in the studies to date.

**CONCLUSION**

114. It is clear from community engagement and public advertising that there is a range of contrasting views on the future planning of the project area. It is highly likely that there will be significant opposition regardless of the determination made.
115. The proposed modifications to the Concept Plan and Report have been made in response to the community feedback and attempts to achieve a balanced outcome by identifying the two land use typologies on which future detailed planning would have regard for; urban landscape and rural landscape, and within the report identifies key principles and implementation strategies to help retain the key environmental and values of the area.

116. By noting the key outcomes of the Concept Plan and Report, any future detailed planning would be required to have regard to those outcomes. Should the Concept Plan and Report not be noted then any future detailed planning would not have any regard to the outcomes of the Concept Plan and Report.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. NOTE the outcomes of community engagement and public advertising and acknowledge that there are a range of views on the future planning for the project area.

2. NOTE the outcomes of the Ecological Report.

3. REQUEST the Chief Executive Officer to make modifications to the Concept Plan and Report in accordance with Attachment 1, publish the modified document on the City’s website and inform all landowners within the project area once completed.

4. NOTE the strategic outcomes of the Concept Plan and Report, as proposed to be modified.

5. CEASE further planning for the project as outlined in Option 2 of the Council Report.
10.1.2. **Consideration for the Control of Cats in the City of Kalamunda - Response to Notice of Motion (OCM149/2020)**

*Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.*
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**TYPE OF REPORT**

- [ ] Advocacy When Council is advocating on behalf of the community to another level of government/body/agency
- [ ] Executive When Council is undertaking its substantive role of direction setting and oversight (e.g. accepting tenders, adopting plans and budgets)
- [ ] Information For Council to note
- [✓] Legislative Includes adopting Local Laws, Town Planning Schemes and Policies. When Council determines a matter that directly impacts a person's rights and interests where the principles of natural justice apply. Examples include town planning applications, building licences, other permits or licences issued under other Legislation or matters that could be subject to appeal to the State Administrative Tribunal

**STRATEGIC PLANNING ALIGNMENT**

*Kalamunda Advancing Strategic Community Plan to 2027*

**Priority 2: Kalamunda Clean and Green**

**Objective 2.1** - To protect and enhance the environmental values of the City.

**Strategy 2.1.1** - Enhance our bushland, natural areas, waterways and reserves.

**Strategy 2.1.2** - Support the conservation and enhancement of our biodiversity.

**Strategy 2.1.3** - Community engagement and education in environmental management.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The purpose of this report is for Council to consider the possibility of preparing a Cat Local Law.

2. The provisions of the *Local Government Act 1995* and *Cat Act 2011* (the Act) permit Council to create Local Laws for a range of matters which includes the keeping and control of cats.

3. This report recommends the City initiate the process to prepare a Cat Local Law.

BACKGROUND

4. At the Ordinary Council Meeting (OCM) on 28 July 2020, Council resolved (OCM 149/2020) to request the Chief Executive Officer to prepare a report, by December 2020, with details and recommendations regarding the potential to introduce Local Laws in relation to cat ownership and regulation within the City of Kalamunda (the City).

5. The rationale behind the notice included the following:

   a) Each community has different needs and preferences when it comes to the regulation and control of cats.
   b) There has been increasing discussion within the community regarding cats and responsible cat ownership.
   c) The City has significant areas of bushland with native fauna.
   d) The matters which may be considered as part of the report to Council could include but are not limited to:
      i. Protection of native wildlife.
      ii. Registration and micro-chipping.
      iii. Number of cats per household.
      iv. Sterilisation.
      v. Wearing of collars and registration tags.
   e) In the context of the City's natural environment, it would be appropriate for Council to be provided information about the possible benefits of introducing cat local laws and what those local laws could entail.
   f) It is recommended that this report is received, and further investigations will commence on the need for Cat Local laws and further control.
DETAILS AND ANALYSIS

6. The City has a mixture of residential, semi-rural and rural areas. A large portion of the City is also made up of Regional and National Parks along with State Forrest. These areas all have significant bushland and native fauna which is impacted by roaming domestic and feral cats.

7. Feral cats are a declared pest in Western Australia under the *Biosecurity and Agriculture Management Act 2007*. Feral cats are not registered with a local authority or identified according the *Cat Act 2011*. They live as wild animals independent of people, and their behaviour is different from domestic or stray cats.

8. Domestic cats, however, are fully socialised, owned and cared for by people and are subject to the provisions of the *Cat Act 2011*. A stray cat is a domestic cat that has gone missing or has been abandoned.

9. In a study conducted in 2017 by *Birdlife Australia*, regarding domestic cats and the adverse effects they are having on the native wildlife, can be just as detrimental to species here in Western Australia as are feral cats if they are not responsibly kept for by their owners.

10. Cats can be companions, however, as predators, cats are very efficient hunters of native wildlife, altering the natural balance in the environment.

11. Cats living close to bushland are likely to kill a wide range of native wildlife. Wildlife that feeds or nests on or near the ground are most at risk. Even well-fed domestic cats will still instinctively hunt. Prey that is not killed will often die later from shock, infection, or disease.

12. Legislation governing cats already exists in Western Australia, being the *Cat Act 2011 (Act)* and *Cat Regulations 2012*.

13. Once a cat reaches the age of 6 months, the owner of the Cat is legally required to register the cat with their local government and to ensure the cat is microchipped and sterilised by a veterinarian.

14. There are currently 2105 Cats registered, microchipped, and sterilised within the City.

15. The Act also requires the owner of a registered Cat to ensure when their cat is in a public place, that the cat is wearing a registration tag.
16. Neither the Act nor Regulations specify the number of cats that can be kept per household. However, the City’s existing *Keeping and Control of Animals and Nuisance Local Law 2011* (Local Law) does. Specifically, a person may keep up to 3 cats without obtaining special approval. Further, a person is not permitted to keep more than 3 cats unless it is for the purpose of boarding or breeding and the person has obtained approval from the City.

17. There are 3 approved catteries within the City:
   a) Swan Animal Haven.
   b) A Cat’s Holiday Home.
   c) Paws, Claws and Beaks.

18. One of the main deficiencies with the Act and Regulations and the Local Law is that they do not prohibit cats from wandering onto other premises, conservation areas or other land. Residents that have issues with nuisance cats wandering onto their property, can humanely trap any cat that enters their property. However, they are required to either hand the cat over to their local government or return the cat to the owner, if the owner is known.

19. Since the introduction of the Act, the City built a new cat management facility adjacent to the dog management facility at the operations centre.

20. Residents within the City that complain about stray cats entering their property can, for a fee, request animal traps from the City. If a cat is caught, a Ranger can check for a collar and scan for a microchip. All registered cats and those identified as domestic are taken to the City animal management facility.

21. Since 2014, Rangers have impounded 739 cats. Of these, 129 cats have been recollected by their owners, 379 have been rehomed to the Cat Haven and 231 were deemed feral and were humanely euthanised.

22. Given the damage domestic cats can cause to native fauna, having local laws which can help mitigate this in some way is a desirable outcome.

23. The Act allows a local government to make a local law about one or more of the following:
   a) The registration of cats.
   b) Removing and impounding cats.
   c) Keeping, transferring, and disposing of cats kept at cat management facilities.
   d) The humane destruction of cats.
e) Cats creating a nuisance.
f) Specifying places where cats are prohibited.
g) Requiring that in specified areas a portion of the premises on which a cat is kept must be enclosed in a manner capable of confining cats.
h) Limiting the number of cats that may be kept at the premises, or premises of a particular type.
i) The establishment, maintenance, licensing, regulation, construction, use, record keeping and inspection of cat management facilities.
j) The regulation of cat breeders, including record keeping and inspection.
k) Fee and charges payable in respect of any matter under the Act.

24. Reviewing other local governments such as the new City of Fremantle Cat Local Law, it is not uncommon to have a schedule of cat prohibited areas. These areas are commonly conservation reserves and the like under the care and control of the Local Government. Notwithstanding this, it is already an offence under the Conversation and Land Management Regulations 2002 to bring or allow an animal on to DBCA land except for dogs and horses in designated areas.

25. It is also noted that the City of Stirling Cat Local Law includes a fauna protection zone which is an exclusion area extending 200m from the boundary of a cat prohibited area. This further restricts the keeping of cats, in this case from 3 to only 1 cat unless there is a valid permit in place.

26. To mitigate the threat to native wildlife, it is recommended that the City consider adopting a similar approach to other local governments by enacting laws that prohibit cats in certain areas. This would mean that under the proposed local law if any registered cat is caught in one of these areas, the owner would be committing an offence and be subject to compliance action.

27. The City has over 240 natural areas and 343 natural waterways which could be considered as exclusion Zones.

28. A key factor for the City to consider is cats wandering generally, particularly to neighbouring properties. In this instance Council may consider regulatory measures regarding nuisance cats. This approach has been adopted by the City of Mandurah. If a cat is trapped on private property and is found to be registered, microchipped, and sterilised it is returned to the registered owner, along with an order to control the nuisance. If the cat is trapped again, further compliance action may be considered.
APPLICABLE LAW

29.  *Cat Act 2011*
    *Cat Regulations 2012*
    *Local Government Act 1995*
    *Keeping and Control of Animals and Nuisance Local Law 2011*

APPLICABLE POLICY

30.  N/A

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

31.  The City has engaged with other local governments and state government departments in preparing this report.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

32.  Costs associated with the implementation of additional cat management measures will be accommodated within existing business unit budgets, unless there is an increase in compliance requiring additional licences which would be subject to future budget considerations.

SUSTAINABILITY

Social Implications

33.  N/A

Economic Implications

34.  N/A

Environmental Implications

35.  Cats can cause significant damage to native fauna. Regulatory measures can be put in place to mitigate this impact.
RISK MANAGEMENT

36. **Risk**: Limited control of cats causing destruction of native wildlife, as well as impacts upon amenity within neighbourhoods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**
Council consider local laws that limit the number of cats per household, declare certain areas cat prohibited and have provisions about nuisance cats.

37. **Risk**: Introduction of stronger cat control laws could adversely impact domestic cat owners through a compliance response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**
Continue to encourage cat owners to register, sterilise and microchip their cats. Continue with education and awareness progress regarding responsible pet ownership more generally.

CONCLUSION

38. A review of other local government local laws identified that the designation of cat prohibited areas, creation of fauna protection zones and provision regarding cats not creating a nuisance are common themes and matter that local government may wish to regulate.

39. The City has a significant number of reserves that may be considered as cat prohibited areas.

40. Given the significant natural areas and native fauna within the City, as well as increasing concerns within the community regarding roaming cats, it is warranted that the City invest resources in the preparation of Cat Local Laws.
Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. RECEIVE the report in relation to the possibility of introducing Cat Local Laws in response to Notice of Motion OCM149/2020.

2. REQUEST the Chief Executive Officer to progress the preparation of a City of Kalamunda Cat Local Law.
10.1.3. Kalamunda Activity Centre Plan - Proposed Scheme Amendment 106 to Local Planning Scheme No.3 and Draft Local Planning Policy 30 - Built Form Design Guidelines

Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.
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3. Draft Local Planning Policy 30 - Kalamunda Activity Centre Plan - Built Form Design Guidelines [10.1.3.3 - 52 pages]

TYPE OF REPORT

☐ Advocacy When Council is advocating on behalf of the community to another level of government/body/agency

☐ Executive When Council is undertaking is substantive role of direction setting and oversight (e.g. accepting tenders, adopting plans and budgets)

☐ Information For Council to note

R* Legislative Includes adopting Local Laws, Town Planning Schemes and Policies. When Council determines a matter that directly impacts a person's rights and interests where the principles of natural justice apply. Examples include town planning applications, building licences, other permits or licences issued under other Legislation or matters that could be subject to appeal to the State Administrative Tribunal

STRATEGIC PLANNING ALIGNMENT

Kalamunda Advancing Strategic Community Plan to 2027

Priority 1: Kalamunda Cares and Interacts
Objective 1.3 - To support the active participation of local communities.
Strategy 1.3.1 - Support local communities to connect, grow and shape the future of Kalamunda.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The purpose of this report is for Council to consider adopting:
   a) Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (LPS 3) - Amendment No. 106 (Amendment 106); and
   b) Local Planning Policy No. 30 (LPP 30) – Kalamunda Activity Centre Plan Built Form Design Guidelines (BFDG); for public advertising.

2. The purpose of Amendment 106 (Attachment 1) is to align the City of Kalamunda’s (the City) LPS 3 with recommendations of the draft Kalamunda Activity Centre Plan (KACP), which was adopted by the Council at its Ordinary Council Meeting (OCM) held on 24 March 2020 and is currently with the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) for approval.

3. LPP 30 (Attachment 2) will establish the KACP BFDG within the local planning framework, enabling the City to have due regard to design guidance and the outcome-based assessment process for development proposals in the Kalamunda town centre.

4. It is recommended the Council adopt Amendment 106 and LPP 30 for public advertising.

BACKGROUND

5. The draft KACP was adopted by Council on 24 March 2020. The documents were subsequently referred to the WAPC for final approval. Amendment 106 is required to bring the LPS 3 into alignment with the recommended land use classification, density code, development and subdivision requirements adopted in the KACP.

6. The BFDG were adopted as Appendix A of the KACP. The BFDG are proposed to be adopted as LPP 30 to provide a user friendly standalone source of information and support the assessment of development proposals in the town centre.
7. **Locality Plan**

![Map of Locality Plan]

**DETAILS AND ANALYSIS**

8. The KACP area is currently divided into a number of zones and reserves under LPS 3 with additional provisions relating to the Kalamunda Town Centre Design Special Control Area, additional uses, special uses and restricted uses. The following outlines the proposed amendments to LPS 3 to ensure its construction appropriately reflects the intent of the draft KACP, and that the KACP is implementable once approved by the WAPC.
9. **Zoning**

Under Amendment 106, the proposed rezoning of the KACP area to ‘Centre’ and with a sub-category of ‘Centre C1 - Kalamunda’ for the core of the town centre is based on the following rationale:

a) The ‘Centre’ zone is consistent with the model provisions of the Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015 (Regulations).

b) The ‘Centre’ zone objectives (consistent with the model provisions of the Regulations) is clear in its intent for future detailed planning to be undertaken in accordance with the KACP.

c) The ‘Centre’ zone allows for the density and built form provisions to be guided by the KACP and the BFDG which will be adopted as a local planning policy.

d) The land use permissibility for the ‘Centre C1 - Kalamunda’ core as identified on the KACP Map is guided by current land use permissibility in Table 1 with the remaining land uses to accord with existing zones (i.e. Mixed Use zone, Residential zone).

10. The objectives of the new Centre zone are proposed as follows:

a) To designate land for future development as a town centre or activity centre.

b) To provide a basis for future detailed planning in accordance with the structure planning provisions of this Scheme or the Activity Centres State Planning Policy.

11. This approach is considered the simplest and most closely aligned with contemporary local planning schemes and activity centre plans in Western Australia.

12. Part of the rezoning includes Lot 3691 (No. 9) Headlingly Road, Kalamunda (Crown Reserve R41268) which is proposed to be rezoned from ‘Residential R5’ to ‘Local Open Space’. This reflects the current use of the land being for a war memorial contiguous to other lots identified as Local Open Space which comprise Stirk Park.

13. **Land Use Permissibility**

Amendment 106 will introduce a new zone ‘Centre’ zone with a ‘C1 – Kalamunda’ subcategory for the core of the activity centre.

14. The proposed land use permissibility for ‘Centre C1 – Kalamunda’ in Table 1 Zoning Table of LSP 3 relates to the land identified as ‘C1 – Kalamunda’ on LPS 3 Zoning Map and ‘Centre’ on the KACP Map.
15. All other areas outside of the C1 – Kalamunda designation will be in accordance with the equivalent zone in LPS 3 as identified on the KACP Map as identified in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Centre Plan Map Land Use category</th>
<th>Equivalent Zone/Reserve in accordance with LPS 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Centre</td>
<td>Centre (C1) Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential</td>
<td>Residential Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed Use</td>
<td>Mixed Use Zone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Purpose</td>
<td>Public Purpose reserve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Open Space</td>
<td>Local Open Space Reserve</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. This approach is consistent with contemporary planning practice which relies on existing zones and land use permissibility (where possible) rather than creating bespoke zones and land use permissibility that is inconsistent with the Regulations.

17. **Removal of Special Control Area**

Amendment 106 will result in the removal of the Special Control Area ‘Kalamunda Town Centre Design Control Area’ under Sections 1.1(c) and 6.3 of LPS 3. The Special Control Area provisions are no longer required to implement the Kalamunda Town Centre Planning and Urban Design Guidelines (2011).

18. The KACP and associated Built Form Design Guidelines (to be adopted as a LPP 30) will supersede the existing Kalamunda Town Centre Planning and Urban Design Guidelines (2011), hence making the Special Control Area provisions redundant. Further discussion is provided below under Built Form Design Guidelines – LPP 30.

19. **Modifications to Additional Uses, Restricted Uses and Special Uses**

There are a number of additional uses, restricted uses and special uses that have been adopted to respond to ad-hoc development proposals within the town centre that were not initially contemplated by LPS 3.

20. With the review of zoning, land use permissibility and the preparation of the KACP, a number of these land use provisions will be superseded by the proposed Centre C1 rezoning and associated land use permissibility. It is noted that all of the modifications listed and justified below result in greater consistency and flexibility for the lots subject to the Additional, Restricted and Special Uses being modified.
21. The following Additional Uses are proposed to be deleted:

   a) Additional Use No. A 5 (Restaurant) relating to Lot 58 (35) Canning Road, Kalamunda
   b) Additional Use No. A 6 (Arts and Crafts Centre including teaching, production, display and sales) relating to Lot 1 (55) Railway Road, Kalamunda
   c) Additional Use No. A 14 (Offices) relating to Lot 3 (7) Mead Street, Kalamunda
   d) Additional Use No. A 46 (Office) relating to Lot 16 (8) Stirk Street, Kalamunda
   e) Additional Use No. A 49 (Art Gallery) relating to Lot 800 (1) School Street, Kalamunda

22. All of the above uses can be contemplated by the respective zoning and land use permissibility. For example, the Additional uses A14 and A46 provides for an Office land use and A49 provides for an Art Gallery, which will all be ‘P’ Permitted in the Centre C1 zone.

23. New additional uses are proposed to allow ‘Motor Vehicle, Boat or Caravan Sales’ and ‘Motor Vehicle Repairs’ relating to Lot 15 (12) Burt Street, Kalamunda. This will facilitate the continued use of Kalamunda Toyota for vehicle sales and services while enabling other uses to be considered in accordance with the Mixed Use zone under the KACP.

24. Amendment 106 will result in the deletion of Restricted Use RU 1 (Car Park and open air display and weekend market) relating to Lots 10 and 11 (1 and 3) Stirk Street, Kalamunda. There is an opportunity to allow a broad range of land uses and remove the current restrictions to promote future redevelopment opportunities provided by the respective zoning and land use permissibility.

25. The following Special Uses are proposed to be deleted:

   a) Special Use 12 (SU 12) ‘Vehicle Sales / Services’ as it relates to Lot 15 (12) Burt Street, Kalamunda. It is proposed that Additional Uses will be inserted to facilitate existing uses occurring on this lot associated with Kalamunda Toyota.
   b) Special Use 14 (SU 14) ‘Car Park’ as it relates to Canning Lot 29 (14) Canning Road, and Lot 3 (5) Heath Road, Kalamunda.
26. There is an opportunity to allow a broad range of land uses and remove the current Special Use provisions to promote future redevelopment opportunities provided by the respective zoning and land use permissibility. The existing uses can continue to operate under the existing valid planning approvals. SU 14 is a ‘P’ Permitted use in the Centre C1 zone and in the case of SU 12 it is proposed that additional uses will be added to provide for the ongoing vehicle sales and services use associated with Kalamunda Toyota. The removal of the Special Use provisions will align the zoning and land use permissibility with the KACP vision and land use intent.

27. **Complex Local Planning Scheme Amendment Process**
Under the provisions of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015* Amendment 106 is considered a ‘Complex’ amendment given the following considerations:

a) The Amendment is not specifically addressed as a strategy or action in the City of Kalamunda Local Planning Strategy for the Scheme that has been endorsed by the WAPC;
b) The amendment relates to an Activity Centre Plan that is currently being considered for approval by the WAPC; and
c) The amendment relates to development that is of a scale, or will have an impact, that is significant relative to development in the locality.

28. The classification of the amendment as ‘Complex’ as opposed to ‘Standard’ or ‘Basic’ was determined in liaison with preliminary discussions with Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage officers and having regard to the significant public interest surrounding the KACP. The key difference between a Complex amendment and a Standard amendment is that there is additional advertising duration, 60 days in lieu of 42 days, respectively.

29. If the Council resolves to proceed to advertise a complex amendment, the City is required to refer the amendment to the Western Australian Planning Commission (WAPC) for examination before the amendment is advertised. The WAPC may require modifications to the amendment prior to advertising. This process is otherwise not required before commencing advertising for a ‘standard’ or ‘basic’ amendment.

30. **Built Form Design Guidelines – LPP 30**
The design guidance outlined in the BFDG introduces a performance-based assessment process for developments, where each development is assessed on its merits.
31. Importantly, development within the KACP area will be required to be considered by the City's Design Advisory Committee, who will amongst other things, make recommendations based on the principles included in the BFDG taking into consideration the character of the surrounding landscape and buildings.

32. The Design WA suite of documents was designed to replace the R-Codes and includes SPP 7 – Design of the Built Environment, and SPP 7.3 – Residential Design Codes Volume 2 Apartments which applies to residential apartments (multiple dwellings) in areas coded R40 and above in mixed use development or activity centres. The design principles included in Design WA have been used as the performance-based assessment tool for new development in the town centre. The following key design considerations form the structure of the KACP and associated BFDG:

a) Site Planning and building massing – addressing frontage requirements, plot ratio, building height, ground floor requirements, corner buildings, transitions between differing standards, and topography considerations;

b) Building character – considers architectural character, compatibility with surrounding buildings, prominent site locations, and the envisaged town square;

c) Access, Parking and Services;

d) Landscaping;

e) Other considerations - solar, accessibility, heritage, privacy, security and development incentives.

APPLICABLE LAW

33. Metropolitan Region Scheme

34. Local Planning Scheme No. 3

35. The Planning and Development Act 2005 (PD Act)

36. The Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulation 2015

APPLICABLE POLICY

37. State Planning Policy 4.2 – Activity Centres for Perth and Peel

The purpose of the draft KACP and Amendment 106 is to facilitate the development of a district activity centre in Kalamunda, as contemplated and planned for in a variety of state and local planning instruments, namely State Planning Policy 4.2 – Activity Centres for Perth and Peel (SPP 4.2).
38. **State Planning Policy 7.0: Design of the Built Environment (SPP 7.0)**
This policy elevates the importance of design quality across the whole built environment. It includes 10 principles for good design and establishes the framework for integrating design review as a part of the evaluation process. The KACP uses principles from SPP 7.0 through the Built Form Design Guidelines.

39. **State Planning Policy 7.3: Residential Design Codes Volume 2 – Apartments (SPP 7.3)**
SPP 7.3 focuses on improved design outcomes for apartments (multiple dwellings). Content from SPP 7.3 has been used as the performance-based assessment tool for new development in the town centre.

40. **State Planning Policy 3.7: Planning and Bushfire Prone Areas (SPP 3.7)**
The entire suburb of Kalamunda is identified as bushfire prone according to the Department of Fire and Emergency Services mapping. Development and subdivision will need to comply with the requirements of SPP 3.7, which may involve the preparation of a Bushfire Attack Level assessment and Bushfire Management Plan.

**STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT**

41. Preliminary community engagement was undertaken to establish a vision for the draft KACP in February - March 2018, and formal advertising was undertaken when the draft KACP was released for public comment in June – August 2019. In this regard, it is noteworthy that the draft KACP has already undergone a rigorous advertising process. Advertising is still required for Amendment 106 and LPP 30.

42. The City is required to give notice of the proposed Amendment 106 for a minimum period of 60 days and LPP 30 for a minimum period of 21 days. The following method will be used:

   a) Newspaper advertisement;
   b) Display a copy of the notice at the City’s administration centre;
   c) Letters to landowners and public authorities affected by the amendment
   d) Website notice; and
   e) Any other method directed by the WAPC.

43. If the City undertakes modifications to the proposed amendment following and in response to submissions received, the City may decide to advertise any modifications the City deems as significant.
FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

44. Costs associated with the preparation of Amendment 106 and LPP 30 documentation, and required for finalising the amendment, are met through the Development Services annual budget.

SUSTAINABILITY

Social Implications

45. Amendment 106 and LPP 30 will assist with delivery of the draft KACP which is designed to improve the public and private realm over a number of years and bring vitality to the Kalamunda town centre.

Economic Implications

46. By ensuring statutory framework aligns with the draft KACP landowners will have more certainty around land uses which can be considered and how applications for subdivision and development will be assessed and determined in the Kalamunda town centre.

Environmental Implications

47. Environmental considerations have been addressed through the preparation of the draft KACP and considered by the Council previously.

RISK MANAGEMENT

48. **Risk:** Amendment 106 and LPP 30 is not adopted and there is inconsistency with the planning zones between the LPS3 and the draft KACP.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**
Progress Amendment 106 and LPP 30 in liaison with the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage to ensure the KACP is implementable once approved.

CONCLUSION

49. In summary, Amendment 106 seeks to introduce a new Centre zone and objectives, rezone the area affected by the draft KACP to the Centre zone, delete the redundant Special Control Area provisions relating to the Kalamunda Town Centre Design Control area, and rationalise the existing
Additional Uses, Special Uses and Restricted Uses contained within the amendment area.

50. It is important to note that Amendment 106 will not restrict land use permissibility, but rather it will result in greater permissibility and flexibility for landowners.

51. Amendment 106 and LPP 30 will ensure that there is a robust planning framework in place to deliver on the vision of the KACP over the next 10 years.

52. Having regard to the above, it is recommended that Council adopts Amendment No. 106 as a complex amendment, and LPP 30, for the purpose of public advertising.

| Voting Requirements: Simple Majority |

**RECOMMENDATION**

That Council:

1. Pursuant to Section 75 of the *Planning and Development Act 2005*, ADOPT Amendment 106 to Local Planning Scheme No. 3 in accordance with Attachment 1, for the purposes of public advertising.

2. Pursuant to clause 35 (2) of the *Planning and Development (Local Planning Schemes) Regulations 2015*, CONSIDER Amendment 106 to Local Planning Scheme No. 3 a complex amendment for the following reasons:

   a) The Amendment is not specifically addressed as a strategy or action in the City of Kalamunda Local Planning Strategy for the Scheme that has been endorsed by the WAPC;
   b) The amendment relates to an Activity Centre Plan that is currently being considered for approval by the WAPC; and
   c) The amendment relates to development that is of a scale, or will have an impact, that is significant relative to development in the locality.
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STRATEGIC PLANNING ALIGNMENT

Kalamunda Advancing Strategic Community Plan to 2027

Priority 3: Kalamunda Develops
Objective 3.1 - To plan for sustainable population growth.
Strategy 3.1.1 - Plan for diverse and sustainable housing, community facilities and industrial development to meet changing social and economic needs.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The purpose of this report is for Council to consider the outcome of the annual review of the Wattle Grove Cell 9 – Guided Development Scheme (GDS) Report (attachment 1) and adopt an updated contribution rate.

2. The review to the GDS has resulted in a decrease in the contribution rate from $24,187 per lot to $22,275 per lot. This is due primarily to a reduction in Western Power costs for Hale Road and an increase in the projected lots to be developed for Lot 42 (No. 12) Bruce Road, Wattle Grove.

3. The GDS was advertised from the 4 September 2020 to 9 October 2020. No submissions were received.

4. It is recommended that Council adopt the GDS Report (Attachment 1) and new rate of $22,275.

BACKGROUND

5. Land Details:

| Land Area: | Approx. 250ha |
| Local Planning Scheme Zone: | Urban Development |
| Metropolitan Regional Scheme Zone: | Urban |

6. Locality Plan:
7. **Outline Development Plan:**

8. The Wattle Grove Cell 9 area operates as an Outlined Development Plan (ODP) with administrative provisions and GDS provisions incorporated in Schedule 11 of Local Planning Scheme No. 3 (Scheme). The ODP is included for information (Attachment 2). ODP’s predate the preparation of local structure plans (LSPs), however operate in the same manner.

9. Following the gazettal of the GDS in October 1997, Council was required to adopt a GDS Report and cost schedule. The GDS and the associated cost schedule sets out in detail the calculation of cost contributions for development in accordance with the methodology shown in the GDS report. The GDS needs to be a dynamic document to maintain the currency of the cost of infrastructure, land and other GDS items. The GDS report does not form part of LPS 3 but, once adopted by Council, must be reviewed annually.

10. The GDS was last reviewed in August 2019 where Council adopted a rate of $24,187.

11. The previous reviews of the report resulted in the following contribution rates:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Amended</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/11/2001</td>
<td>$7,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/11/2002</td>
<td>$8,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/11/2003</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/11/2004</td>
<td>$12,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/07/2005</td>
<td>$13,550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/03/2006</td>
<td>$15,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/09/2006</td>
<td>$19,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/03/2007</td>
<td>$22,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/03/2008</td>
<td>$24,650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/11/2008</td>
<td>$25,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/11/2009</td>
<td>$25,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/06/2011</td>
<td>$26,450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/01/2013</td>
<td>$27,335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/03/2014</td>
<td>$27,315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/08/2015</td>
<td>$27,816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/05/2017</td>
<td>$26,588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/08/2019</td>
<td>$24,187</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Previous reviews of the GDS report have referred to the document as a Development Contribution Plan (DCP). The City has reverted to the original name of the document (Guided Development Scheme), as the GDS was initiated prior to the establishment of State Planning Policy 3.6 Development Contribution for Infrastructure (SPP3.6) and therefore does not operate in accordance with SPP3.6 and Clause 6.5 of the Scheme.

DETAILS AND ANALYSIS

13. The proposed GDS report is a technical document with input from Engineering, Finance and Planning perspectives, the GDS is an effective way to simplify and summarise all the different aspects involved with arriving at a contribution rate.

14. At each GDS review, all factors contributing to the contribution rate must be reviewed. The significant factors reviewed are as follows:

a) Remaining lot yield;
b) Land requiring acquisition;
c) Land valuation;
d) Cost of works outstanding;
e) Administration costs;
f) Works priorities; and

g) Calculation methodology.

15. Remaining lot yield
The future lot yield is expected to provide the contributions necessary to clear all the remaining GDS costs. As at 30 June 2020, approximately 374 new lots are expected to be created.

16. A total of 20 new lots have been created since the latest GDS rate was adopted on 27 August 2020.
17. Previous GDS reviews have estimated a 4900m² block being retained on Lot 42 (No. 12) Bruce Road, Wattle Grove. This estimation was a result of past discussions with the landowner. The City has decided to remove this assumption and reflect the subdivision potential of the property to align with all other sites in Cell 9 which have development potential. It is not common practice to make assumptions based on individual circumstances, rather than taking a consistent approach for subdivision potential across the project area. It should be noted that should a 4900m² block be retained in any case, that property would still have subdivision potential and would still be liable to contributions to the Cell 9 GDS were it to subdivide further.

18. This has resulted in an additional 10 lots projected to be created for Lot 42 (No. 12) Bruce Road.

19. **Land Valuation**
An independent land valuer assigns value to land required to be acquired for public purposes as outlined by the ODP. The valuation report assigned a land valuation rate of $165/m² to be utilised for determining estimated land acquisition costs for the remaining areas of land acquisition.

20. The property market is expected to be affected by the COVID-19 pandemic although it is too early to quantify the extent of the impact on the economy and property market. There is likely to have been a change in market sentiment. Property markets are less volatile than other markets (such as finance and equity markets). Past cycles indicate there is a lag for when property markets react to economic events. In the short-term there may be reduced buyer demand, and a reluctance by vendors to reduce prices, resulting in lower sales volumes and longer selling periods. The extent of any decline in values is presently uncertain and may depend on whether this is a short-term event or has longer term consequences.

21. A land valuation will be undertaken at the next review to determine any impact on land value that may have been caused by the COVID-19 pandemic.

22. The GDS takes responsibility for acquiring GDS road reserve land as outlined in Schedule 11 of the Scheme. The GDS is also responsible for acquiring Public Open Space land where it is identified on the ODP. Under the GDS, there is generally no liability for landowners to vest Public Open Space in the Crown free of charge (i.e. 10% POS), this requirement is fulfilled by virtue of fulfilling GDS contribution requirements.

23. A $765,699 contingency has been added to cover land acquisition costs in excess of the estimated cost of acquisition. This is in excess of the
$200,000 previously allocated to land contingency. The amount allocated to land contingency reflects a 20% contingency on total estimated land acquisition costs and is due to recent land purchases undertaken by the City in Cell 9 which have included a 10% solatium and following negotiations with landowners have exceeded the estimated land purchase cost. Advice from the land valuers indicated a contingency of 10% plus the 10% to cover for solatium is appropriate.

24. The following table brings together the road reserve and Public Open Space acquisitions along with an allowance for miscellaneous land purchase, which may be required through the course of detailed design and construction works:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Area of Remaining Acquisition (m2)</th>
<th>Cost of Remaining Acquisition ($)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Road Reservation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Open Space</td>
<td>23,203</td>
<td>$3,828,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Land Purchase</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>$210,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25. Since the last review 520m2 of road reserve and 4936m2 of POS has been purchased.

26. Miscellaneous land acquisition related works are costs which may be required through the course of detailed design work, such as compensation for improvements and remediation work.

27. A nominal figure of $200,000 has been applied to miscellaneous land acquisition related works plus a 5% contingency of $10,000. This figure will be reviewed at the time the City commences the remaining land acquisitions and receives a valuation from a quantity surveyor on miscellaneous land acquisition related works. This figure is consistent with the amount allocated in previous reviews.

28. Costs of Works Outstanding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Remaining Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hale Road</td>
<td>$4,419,657</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthur / Wimbridge / Sheffield Projects</td>
<td>$0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodlupine Brook Improvements</td>
<td>$2,439,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developer Drainage Works</td>
<td>$792,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SUBTOTAL</td>
<td>$7,650,857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>$504,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education Department Loan</td>
<td>$3,909,092</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The above remaining estimates are as of 30 June 2020 to align with the end of the 2019/20 financial year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Land for Roads</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land for Public Open Space</td>
<td>$3,828,495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous Land Acquisition Related Expenses</td>
<td>$210,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land contingency</td>
<td>$765,699</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Items</td>
<td>$661,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>$17,529,643</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

29. A contingency has been applied for the Woodlupine Brook Improvements, Hale Road, Developer Drainage Works, Project Management and Miscellaneous Land Acquisition Related Works.

30. A contingency of 10% has been applied to the Woodlupine Brook Improvements and a contingency of 5% has been applied to all other infrastructure related items.

31. Woodlupine Brook contingency of 10% has been applied due to advice received in relation to the extent of Acid Sulphate Soils (ASS) located within the base of the existing main drain. Due to this advice it is anticipated that Woodlupine Brook improvements estimated costs may increase. These costs will be reviewed at the next GDS review.

32. Project management costs which previously had an individual line item allocation has now been assimilated into the individual projects (Hale and Woodlupine). This is to align with the payment process where the project manager allocates time dedicated to each individual project, money is then taken out from that individual project on that basis.

33. **Completed Works**
   Since the last review no items have been completed.

34. **Administration Costs**
The administration costs have been reviewed to reflect the project timeframe left for the GDS to operate. This was calculated based on the length of the scheme to date and the amount of lots developed in that time to determine the average number of lots developed each year. The remaining lots were then divided by the average lots developed each year to calculate the estimated number of years remaining in the GDS. Based on this equation it is estimated there are five years remaining for the GDS to operate. This will be reviewed on a yearly basis.

35. On this basis there is an estimated remaining cost of $661,000.
36. The administration of the GDS includes, but is not limited to, the following key tasks:

   a) Legal/Land acquisition management;
   b) GDS annual review;
   c) Land valuation; and
   d) Planning related time and costs.

37. **Works Priorities**
Subdivision and development within a GDS are staged over a number of years. Contributions are generally collected at the time of subdivision or development. In this context, contributions are collected on a staged basis and there are not always enough funds within the GDS to deliver all of the infrastructure included. For this reason, Council need to establish a priority of works schedule for the provision of GDS infrastructure.
38. Clause 5 of the GDS contains the priority works schedule and outlines the key principles utilised to guide the identification of infrastructure priorities. Subject to the availability of funding, the City has determined the following items as the current order of priority:

a) Woodlupine Brook Improvements design and construction (Ongoing 2020/2021);
b) Hale Road design and construction (2020/2021);
c) Developer drainage works (Ongoing);
d) Administration Cost (Ongoing);
e) Remaining Land Acquisitions / Miscellaneous Land Acquisition Costs; and
f) Education Department Loan

39. The identification of priorities will be undertaken as part of the annual cost estimate review and associated GDS update.

40. *Calculation Methodology*

Schedule 11 of LPS 3 sets out the Cell Infrastructure Contribution requirement.

The calculation for this requirement is derived as follows:

\[
\text{Contribution Rate} = \frac{\text{Net outstanding costs (\$)}}{\text{Remaining lot yield}}
\]

Using the following figures, the new contribution rate can be calculated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Remaining costs</td>
<td>$17,529,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funds held in bank</td>
<td>$9,198,948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Remaining lot yield</td>
<td>374 lots or lot equivalent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribution Rate</td>
<td>$22,275 per lot</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[
\text{Contribution Rate} = \frac{($17,529,643 - $9,198,948)}{374} = $22,275 \text{ per lot}
\]

41. *Funds Held as Money*

A recent review of the Forrestfield / High Wycombe Stage 1 Industrial Area DCP found that funds held as money was incorrectly factored into the calculation of the DCP rate, due to the provisions of the Clause 6.5 in the City's LPS 3 and SPP3.6. The City undertook a review of the Cell 9 GDS to determine whether funds held as money had also been incorrectly included in the GDS rate methodology.
42. The City determined that funds held as money had not been factored into the GDS for the following reasons:

a) The GDS is not subject to the provisions of Clause 6.5 of LPS 3 and SPP3.6. The GDS is only subject to the provisions of Schedule 11 of LPS 3.

b) A historic review of past GDS reviews found that funds held as money has been factored into the GDS rate methodology since very early in the GDS history (and likely since inception). The following GDS reports were reviewed; 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2011, 2012, 2014 and 2015. All GDS reports factored in funds held as money as part of the rate methodology. Refer to Attachment 3 for examples of this in the 2004, 2005 and 2008 reports.

c) It would be impractical to review the GDS rate methodology given the historic implications associated with reviewing the GDS since inception, which has been operating for approximately 20 years and is nearing the end of its operation.

d) There have been no objections, to the City's knowledge, received on the rate methodology for the GDS.

43. Advertising
The draft GDS Report was adopted by Council for the purpose of public advertising on 25 August 2020.

44. The draft GDS was advertised from 4 September 2020 to 9 October 2020. No submissions were received.

45. Due to no submissions received and no updated information to be incorporated, there are no proposed modifications to the GDS Report.

APPLICABLE LAW

46. The GDS contributions are administered and determined in accordance with the provisions of Schedule 11 of LPS3.

APPLICABLE POLICY

47. The annual review has regard for State Planning Policy 3.6 Development Contributions for Infrastructure (SPP3.6). Noting that the Cell 9 Wattle Grove GDS pre-dates the establishment of SPP3.6 and is administered in accordance with Schedule 11 of LPS3.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

48. The draft GDS was advertised from 4 September 2020 to 9 October 2020. No submissions were received.
FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

49. The operation of the GDS presents a major administrative responsibility for the City. While the GDS is self-funded, the City has an implicit obligation to efficiently and effectively manage the revenues and works.

SUSTAINABILITY

50. The provision of infrastructure in a timely, coordinated and responsible manner can have a significant impact on the quality of life for both existing and future residents. Impacts on the quality of life need to be considered along with individuals’ expectations. This review enables the proposed infrastructure to be delivered in an efficient and financially responsible manner.

51. The implementation of GDSs assist in the timely, efficient and equitable provision of infrastructure that may in turn facilitate economic growth and employment creation.

52. The proposed GDS provides upgrades to Woodlupine Brook, including the purchase of land for the purpose of Public Open Space. Landscaping and water course upgrades will enable the area to be utilised for more active uses by the community and to reduce erosion.

53. Consultation has occurred with the Water Corporation and Department of Water in the development of the designs for water course upgrades.

RISK MANAGEMENT

54. **Risk:** The GDS does not generate enough funds to undertake works.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

Ensure annual reviews account for the cost of infrastructure and the remaining development has the capacity to cover these costs. Ensure regular reviews of funding capacity.

55. **Risk:** The stakeholders are dissatisfied with the proposed rate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

Advertise the GDS rate and invite public comment.
CONCLUSION

56. The review to the GDS has resulted in a reduction in the contribution rate from $24,187 per lot to $22,275. This is due primarily to a reduction in Western Power costs for Hale Road and an increase in the projected lots to be developed for Lot 42 (No. 12) Bruce Road, Wattle Grove.

57. The GDS has a positive cash flow at present, with a cash balance of $9,198,948. There are a number of infrastructure projects planned in the short term as outlined in the works priorities, which will bring the cash balance down.

Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. ADOPT the proposed Guided Development Scheme Report (Attachment 1)

2. ADOPT the review rate of $22,275 (GST free) per dwelling yield, effective immediately.

3. NOTE the affected landowners will be notified of the reviewed rate.
10.2. Asset Services Reports

10.2.1. Draft Urban Forest Strategy - Adoption for the Purposes of Public Advertising

Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.

Previous Items
Directorate: Asset Services
Business Unit: Parks and Environmental Services
File Reference: EV-EPP-003
Applicant: N/A
Owner: N/A

Attachments

TYPE OF REPORT

☐ Advocacy  When Council is advocating on behalf of the community to another level of government/body/agency

✓ Executive  When Council is undertaking its substantive role of direction setting and oversight (e.g. accepting tenders, adopting plans and budgets

☐ Information  For Council to note

☐ Legislative  Includes adopting Local Laws, Town Planning Schemes and Policies. When Council determines a matter that directly impacts a person's rights and interests where the principles of natural justice apply. Examples include town planning applications, building licences, other permits or licences issued under other Legislation or matters that could be subject to appeal to the State Administrative Tribunal

STRATEGIC PLANNING ALIGNMENT

Kalamunda Advancing Strategic Community Plan to 2027

Priority 2: Kalamunda Clean and Green
Objective 2.1 - To protect and enhance the environmental values of the City.
Strategy 2.1.1 - Enhance our bushland, natural areas, waterways and reserves.
**Strategy 2.1.2** - Support the conservation and enhancement of our biodiversity.

**Strategy 2.1.3** - Community engagement and education in environmental management.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

1. The purpose of this report is to advise on the progress of the Draft Urban Forest Strategy (UFS) and seek Council endorsement to proceed to public consultation.

2. The purpose of the UFS is to inform a series of subsequent actions aimed at enhancing the tree canopy coverage in the City of Kalamunda (City).

3. The Kalamunda Environmental Advisory Committee (KEAC) has considered the draft UFS and their recommended changes are considered appropriate.

4. It is recommended that Council endorse the draft UFS for the purposes of community consultation.

**BACKGROUND**

5. At the Ordinary Council Meeting of 26 March 2019, Council resolved (OCM 71/2019):

   1. REQUEST the Chief Executive Officer list for consideration in the draft 2019/2020 budget a provision to prepare a draft Urban Forest Strategy and commence Community Feedback on this draft by June 2020.

   2. REFERS development of the Urban Forest Strategy to the Kalamunda Environmental Advisory Committee (KEAC) to advise on scope, process, cost and principles of the Strategy are to include:
      a. To meet the objectives of the City’s Strategic Community Plan Priority 2: Kalamunda Clean and Green
      b. To develop a program for planting trees across the City
      c. To demonstrate leadership in understanding and addressing the urban heat island effect
      d. To provide more liveable, attractive, and sustainable communities.

   3. REQUEST the Chief Executive Officer list for consideration in the draft 2019/20 budget an additional provision of $50 000 per annum to the existing $116 000 budget to extend the existing tree planting program across the City.
4. REQUEST the Chief Executive Officer list for consideration in the Long-Term Financial Plan a sum to enable the implementation of the urban Forest Strategy once adopted by council.

6. In response to this referral KEAC established a Working Sub-group to assess and provide advice to the development of an UFS for the City of Kalamunda. The report of the Working Sub-group was presented to KEAC at their ordinary meeting of the 1 August 2019. In summary the following recommendations were made:

   a) establish a working Group with community members
   b) establish baseline information;
   c) identify local issues;
   d) engage with stakeholders;
   e) determine vision, principles, strategies, and targets;
   f) develop actions; and
   g) implement the strategy.

7. Additional items recommended by KEAC were:

   a) an easy to read guide to be developed to complement the strategy (A5); and
   b) the strategy should also consider:
      i. Managing each area differently. Different tree canopy covers in the Hills and foothills and targeting street trees in Forrestfield.
      ii. Wildlife corridors.
      iii. Tree preservation policy.
      iv. Tree register.
      v. Road reserve guidelines, like those produced by other Local Government Authorities but with vegetation types specific to the City of Kalamunda.

8. KEAC, like many Advisory Committees of the City of Kalamunda (City) were unable to meet during the initial Stages of the COVID-19 restrictions and as such progress on this matter has been delayed.

9. KEAC resolved from this meeting that the Working Sub-group meet with Parks and Environmental Services to discuss the next steps including priorities and resourcing.

10. KEAC met in special session on 16 September 2020 and resolved:

    1. That KEAC recommends the Urban Forest Strategy be presented to Council for advertising to the community, subject to further editorial changes and with the “Implementation Implications” column being removed from the Action Plan; and
2. That KEAC observes that there are now several environmental strategies adopted or being developed for adoption, that together add to complexity and resourcing demands for the City and its residents. KEAC recommends that this is best addressed with an overarching explanatory statement of how the strategies work together, and an investigation into their resourcing demands to be fully implemented.

DETAILS AND ANALYSIS

11. The Vision of the draft UFS is “Our diverse forest is valued as an intrinsic feature of our evolving urban landscape that supports a happy, healthy and prosperous community”. The draft UFS is provided as Attachment 1 to this report.

12. The draft UFS has analysed and commented on the existing and desired level of tree canopy cover in the City through robust analysis of data leading to the classification of Urban Forest areas based on similar topography and land use throughout the City.

13. The following goals have been proposed within the UFS:

   Swan Coastal Plain
   a) increase canopy cover to an average of 20% with no net less based on the 2018 baseline within residential lots and roads by 2028;
   b) maintain canopy cover within parks and reserves;
   c) retain at least 20% canopy cover within areas earmarked, but yet to undergo, urban development; and
   d) increase canopy coverage in industrial areas to an average of 5 to 10% based on 2018 baseline by 2028.

   Darling Scarp
   a) maintain overall canopy coverage with no net loss based on 2018 baseline;
   b) increase canopy cover in areas with less than 20% canopy cover (including the Kalamunda Town Centre); and
   c) increase canopy coverage in industrial areas to an average of 5 to 10% based on 2018 baseline by 2028.

   Darling Plateau
   a) maintain overall canopy coverage with no net loss based on 2018 baseline.

14. Proposed actions proposed to meet these goals include:
   a) increased planting on road reserves (medians and verges);
   b) increase diversity of planted species;
   c) increase planting in City managed parks and reserves with deficient cover compatible with land use;
d) develop and implement street tree masterplan;
ed) increased management of existing street and reserve trees;
f) increase planting on private property;
g) maintain urban forest in areas earmarked for Urban and Industrial development;
h) increase canopy cover in the Kalamunda Town Centre;
i) implement monitoring and reporting systems; and
j) consideration of creating an Urban Forest Team within City resources.

15. The final UFS document will be released after having considered the comments and responses from the public consultation phase and a more detailed analysis of proposed actions, their scope and associated financial implications to City's Workforce Plan and Long Term Financial Plan.

16. It is noted that development of land within the City not only needs to meet regulatory planning and development processes but also considers the many strategies developed by the City, including Economic Development, Aged Care, Local Environment, Urban Forest, Local Biodiversity, Kalamunda Activity Centre Plans, other Activity Centre Plans to name a few. There will be significant challenges in developing and implementing processes that as best possible, achieve a harmonious balance between these strategies.

APPLICABLE LAW

17. N/A.

APPLICABLE POLICY

18. C-AS-02 Street Tree and Streetscape Management Policy.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

19. By releasing the draft UFS for public consultation and review, the broader community of the City of Kalamunda will be provided with the opportunity to consider the document and provide comment.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

20. Costs associated with preparation, public advertising and printing of the strategy are to be met through the Asset Services annual budget.
SUSTAINABILITY

21. The draft UFS is an integral step toward implementing an Urban Forest Strategy, that protects and enhances our urban forest.

22. An Urban Forest Strategy will provide lower temperatures in our urban environment which has demonstrated measurable positive benefits to our physical and mental health. A healthy urban forest will encourage exercise, social interaction and a reduction in UV exposure.

23. Increasing urban forest and providing shade to houses decreases energy consumption through reducing the use of air conditioning. Increased human health due to increased urban forest will have decreased impact on health services.

24. An extensive urban forest will facilitate the retention and safe movement of some local fauna species throughout the City. An active urban forest will sequester carbon and reduce the impacts of greenhouse gas emissions.

25. An extensive, established urban forest will assist to reduce water consumption.

RISK MANAGEMENT

26. **Risk**: Failure to advocate for, protect and enhance our urban forest may lead to its decline and associated adverse effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

Development of appropriate strategies and actions will provide a systemic response to the risk.

**Risk**: Implementation of the urban forest strategy will be incompatible with other City development strategies or regulatory planning regime.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Likely</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

City to develop a balanced approach towards clearing of existing vegetated lands taking this strategy into consideration.
CONCLUSION

27. The process recommended by KEAC was followed. Thorough review of the report and recommended actions as submitted by the consultant was undertaken to produce the draft UFS document. The draft UFS is considered suitable for release for public consultation and review.

| Voting Requirements: Simple Majority |

RECOMMENDATION

That Council ENDORSE the Draft Urban Forest Strategy (Attachment 1), subject to minor editing and desktop publishing improvements for the purpose of public consultation.
10.3. Corporate Services Reports

10.3.1. Library Services Review

Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.

Previous Items
Directorate Corporate Services
Business Unit Commercial and Cultural Services
File Reference
Applicant N/A
Owner N/A
Attachments Nil

TYPE OF REPORT

☐ Advocacy When Council is advocating on behalf of the community to another level of government/body/agency
☐ Executive When Council is undertaking is substantive role of direction setting and oversight (e.g., accepting tenders, adopting plans and budgets)
☐ Information For Council to note
☐ Legislative Includes adopting Local Laws, Town Planning Schemes and Policies. When Council determines a matter that directly impacts a person's rights and interests where the principles of natural justice apply. Examples include town planning applications, building licences, other permits or licences issued under other Legislation or matters that could be subject to appeal to the State Administrative Tribunal

STRATEGIC PLANNING ALIGNMENT

Kalamunda Advancing Strategic Community Plan to 2027

Priority 1: Kalamunda Cares and Interacts
Objective 1.1 - To be a community that advocates, facilities and provides quality lifestyles choices.
Strategy 1.1.3 - Facilitate opportunity to pursue learning.

Priority 1: Kalamunda Cares and Interacts
Objective 1.2 - To provide a safe and healthy environment for community to enjoy.
**Strategy** 1.2.3 Provide high quality and accessible recreational and social spaces and facilities.

**Priority 1: Kalamunda Cares and Interacts**
**Objective 1.3** - To support the active participation of local communities.
**Strategy 1.3.1** - Support local communities to connect, grow and shape the future of Kalamunda.
**Strategy 1.3.2** - Encourage and promote the active participation in social and cultural events.

**Priority 3: Kalamunda Develops**
**Objective 3.2** - To connect community to quality amenities.
**Strategy 3.2.1** - Optimal management of all assets.

**Priority 4: Kalamunda Leads**
**Objective 4.1** - To provide leadership through transparent governance.
**Strategy 4.1.2** - Build an effective and efficient service based organisation.

**EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

1. The purpose of this report is to present the findings of the Review of City of Kalamunda Library Services (The Review) and endorse key report recommendations.

2. The City engaged Strategic Leadership Consulting to undertake a comprehensive review of the City's Library Services ensuring the assets, workforce, resourcing, and funding levels enable Kalamunda libraries to reflect the changing needs of our community.

3. The Review found that although the City provides an adequate library service from a functional perspective, however, there is significant opportunity for transitioning libraries from a dominant focus on books to a focus on more contemporary library services that provide increased community connection.

4. This report also proposes the Council requests the CEO to prepare and present an implementation plan, having regard to the recommendations of the Library Services Review Report, for the consideration and determination of the Council.

5. It is recommended that Council:
   a) RECEIVE- and NOTE the Library Services Review Report in Confidential Attachment 1
   b) EXPLORE the recommendation to integrate a library into a Community Hub within the Forrestfield Activity Centre Precinct
c) EXPLORE the recommendation to transition out of the current High Wycombe Library Site and collocate within the District Structure Plan area in Forrestfield North near the Train Station with a new contemporary library building

d) CONSIDER undertaking a redesign of the internals at the Kalamunda Library to improve amenity, improve service provision and program delivery and gain full utilisation of available space providing the community a contemporary community hub experience

e) REQUEST that the City explores opportunities to establish cultural partnerships and joint ventures with other commercial businesses to reduce the cost of running its library services and improve the customer experience and service offering.

BACKGROUND

6. The purpose of the Library Services Review (the Review) was to:
   a) Meet the requirements of the Local Government Act specifically s:3.1 and s:3.18 of the Act.
   b) Provide a context to the quality of the City of Kalamunda public library service
   c) Serve as a tool to identify areas for strategic and operational improvement
   d) Assist in the future planning, and development of library services
   e) Provide an evaluation mechanism and benchmarking tool for public accountability
   f) Assist in determining whether resources are sufficient and efficient

7. The Review examined 5 key elements shown below.
8. The Review was informed by detailed literature reviews, benchmarking of Western Australian metropolitan and interstate library operations, community surveys and staff question plans.

9. To remain relevant, public libraries throughout Australia are:
   a) adapting and expanding beyond perceptions of their traditional roles
   b) delivering new services to the public through substantial growth in programs and personalised information services
   c) leveraging technology to ensure user access to a broader range of content and resources
   d) implementing outreach delivery methods
   e) and becoming the guardians of community stories, culture, and local history.
10. The provision of public library services forms part of a local government’s cultural and social practices that support learning, innovation, creativity and social cohesion. Public libraries are valued civic assets.

11. The review has found that although the City provides an adequate library service from functional but old assets there is an opportunity to reposition the service and make it a central function of the City, through the creation of community hubs offering complementary community services to increase utilisation rates.

12. The 21st Century is bringing new challenges to public libraries, driven by technological change and the diversification of community attitudes and needs.

DETAILS AND ANALYSIS

13. The Review was focused on establishing a vision and pathways towards digital driven community and multi layered community hubs.

14. The Review provides a context to the quality of the City of Kalamunda public library service. It identifies areas for strategic and operational improvement. The Review also assists in future planning, and development of library services and assists in determining whether resources are sufficient and efficient. It also provides an evaluation mechanism and benchmarking tool for public accountability.

15. In summary the Review found:
   a) That the City’s library membership is about half that (21.8%) of the Western Australian average (41%), or the Australian average (44%), and half the number of visits.
   b) That most libraries building assets are some 40-50 years old and at present there is an opportunity to rationalise and modernise the current library service.
   c) That the City’s Libraries need to become multipurpose community hubs collocated in areas to maximise community accessibility and where future population growth is evident.
   d) That the provision of information technology is generally lagging behind other Cities.
   e) That the service offering of the library services are generally consistent with other libraries however, community needs are changing, and service offering will need to match these trends.
   f) Staffing levels are just under (.74 FTE) the national standard.
   g) That although the current revised structure is consistent with many other libraries the role, responsibilities and position titles need to be reviewed.
h) That the City spends just below the metropolitan average for operational expenditure on library services.

i) That there is no quality assurance system in place to control process consistency.

j) That there is no library Community Service Charter in Place.

16. Libraries are the cornerstone cultural assets across the City of Kalamunda, they provide communities with a central repository for social interaction and knowledge sharing.

17. Consistent with most library information services within local government, the City of Kalamunda continues to score high in community perception surveys reinforcing that the service is desired and appreciated by the community. Notwithstanding this fact, the current library service only engages approximately 25% of the community, which is half of what other local government's on average achieve.

18. The City currently has significant driving forces for future planning, notably, the Kalamunda Activity Centre, Forrestfield Activity Centre Plan and the Forrestfield North Train Station Precinct, which all provide opportunities to reshape the way the City delivers its Library Services.

19. The Review highlighted that well-located, well-designed, accessible facilities and services encourage community participation, which in turn builds strong, socially inclusive, connected, and active communities.

20. Throughout all layers of government there is a strong trend to work together more closely rather than providing stand-alone services. Libraries often collaborate with other local services through collocation in the same building, sharing access to facilities so as to share costs and improve customer experience.

21. Transforming the City's Library requires a considered long-term plan whereby service delivery can be advanced, and assets and funding can be re-allocated over an agreed time horizon.

22. It is proposed the Council instruct the Chief Executive Officer to prepare and present an Implementation Plan, having regard to the recommendations of the Library Services Review and its financial considerations, for Council's deliberation and endorsement.

23. In regard to the Implementation Plan and recommendations on the future planning of the Forrestfield Library, it is noted that the Corporate Business Plan includes the following action in relation to the Forrestfield District Centre:
“STRATEGY: 3.1.1 Plan for diverse and sustainable housing, community facilities and industrial development to meet changing social and economic needs.

Associated Action:
...scope the resource requirements for an Activity Centre Plan for the Forrestfield District Centre for future budget consideration.”

24. The City is currently preparing a detailed Project Plan to inform next year’s budget considerations to prepare an Activity Centres Plan for the Forrestfield District Centre.

25. The FFACP will provide guidance in relation to the positioning of a future library and community hub.

APPLICABLE LAW

26. The Library Services Review report serves to meet the requirements of the Local Government Act (1995), specifically Section 3.18 parts 2 and 3:

APPLICABLE POLICY

27. Nil.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT


FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

29. The recommendations of the Library Services Review do have financial considerations. It is envisaged the Implementation Plan, developed for the deliberation and endorsement of Council, will outline in detail the financial considerations of each recommendation.

30. Future budget considerations will include funding for the preparation of the Forrestfield Activity Centre Plan and associated technical studies.

SUSTAINABILITY

Social Implications

31. To be explored in the proposed Implementation Plan.
RISK MANAGEMENT

32. **Risk**: The Library Services Review is not progressed and current operations continue without the focus of contemporary services and facilities being managed efficiently and effectively and failing to meet the expectations of all within the Kalamunda and broader community.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

Council adopts, in principle, the recommendations of the Library Services Review Report and considers an Implementation Plan for deliberation and endorsement.

CONCLUSION

33. The Libraries of Kalamunda have served the community well over the past 50 years and its timely the City take a fresh look at this valued service to they meet the needs of the community for the next 50 years.

34. The City has undertaken a full and comprehensive service review of its public libraries examining the five key elements of People, Operations, Systems & Processes, Innovation, and Assets.

35. The Library Services Review (Confidential Attachment 1) is tabled for Council to receive and note.

36. The City has a real opportunity to build cohesive, engaged, and informed communities and library services is a key asset that will support these goals. In order to achieve this, library services into the future will require flexible spaces, effective partnerships, and re-skilling of staff. It will also require well designed and well resourced libraries in the right locations.

37. In the next 20 years the City will experience significant growth in the Foothills areas. This growth will escalate the need to provide new, modern and contemporary library and community services. The creation of community hubs is the central tenet in the review of libraries.

38. The Review notes that the transformation of library services needs to leverage off many of the good things currently being done in the City's libraries and progressively steer staff and users from the traditional library model into a vibrant community hub.

39. To progress the recommendations of the Library Services Review it is recommended Council request the Chief Executive Officer to prepare and present an Implementation Plan for the endorsement of Council.
Voting Requirements: Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. RECEIVE and NOTE the Library Services Review Report in Confidential Attachment 1.

2. EXPLORE the recommendation to integrate the Forrestfield Library into a Community Hub within the Forrestfield Activity Centre Precinct and report back to Council.

3. EXPLORE the recommendation to transition out of the current High Wycombe Library Site and co-locate within the District Structure Plan area in Forrestfield North (High Wycombe South) near the Train Station with a new contemporary library building report back to Council.

4. CONSIDER undertaking a redesign of the internals at the Kalamunda Library to improve amenity, improve service provision and program delivery and gain full utilisation of available space, providing the community with a contemporary community hub experience.

5. REQUEST that the City explores opportunities to establish cultural partnerships and joint ventures with other commercial businesses to reduce the cost of running its library services and to improve the customer experience and service offering.
10.4. Office of the CEO Reports

10.4.1. Council Meeting Schedule 2021

Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.

- Previous Items
- Directorate: Office of the CEO
- Business Unit: Governance & Legal Services
- File Reference: N/A
- Applicant: City of Kalamunda
- Owner: City of Kalamunda

Attachments:
1. 2021 Schedule of Council Meeting Dates [10.4.1.1 - 1 page]

TYPE OF REPORT

- [ ] Advocacy
  - When Council is advocating on behalf of the community to another level of government/body/agency

- [ ] Executive
  - When Council is undertaking its substantive role of direction setting and oversight (eg accepting tenders, adopting plans and budgets)

- [ ] Information
  - For Council to note

- [X] Legislative
  - Includes adopting Local Laws, Town Planning Schemes and Policies. When Council determines a matter that directly impacts a person's rights and interests where the principles of natural justice apply. Examples include town planning applications, building licences, other permits or licences issued under other Legislation or matters that could be subject to appeal to the State Administrative Tribunal

STRATEGIC PLANNING ALIGNMENT

Kalamunda Advancing Strategic Community Plan to 2027

Priority 4: Kalamunda Leads

Objective 4.1 - To provide leadership through transparent governance.

Strategy 4.1.1 - Provide good governance.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The purpose of this Report is to consider the setting Ordinary Council (OCM) and Public Agenda Briefing Forum (PABF) meeting dates for the 2021 calendar year.

2. The current meeting cycle adopted by Council in 2019 provides for the PABF to be held on the second Tuesday of each month and the OCM on the fourth Tuesday, with no meetings scheduled in January.

3. It is recommended Council set the dates for meetings of the PABF and OCM as detailed in the Schedule (Attachment 1).

BACKGROUND

4. Section 5.4 (b) of the Local Government Act 1995 (the Act) provides for the Council to call OCM's and Section 5.25 (1) (g) of the Act and Regulation 12 of the Local Government (Administration) Regulations 1996 requires at least once every year a local government is to give local public notice of the dates, time and place for OCM and committee meetings required to be open to members of the public.

DETAILS AND ANALYSIS

5. The current meeting structure and cycle has now been in operation for several years and appears appropriate in meeting the operational and strategic direction of Council and the needs of the community, with no major issues for either Council or the community.

6. It has been the custom of the City for no meetings to be scheduled in January and the meetings for December be brought forward by one week.

7. Additionally, October meetings have been brought forward to allow the Council to conclude business presented in October prior to the biannual local government ordinary elections held on the third Saturday of October.

8. A schedule of proposed meeting dates for the 2021 calendar year has been prepared taking into account the above minor schedule changes (Attachment 1).

APPLICABLE LAW

9. Section 5.4 (b) of the Act provides for the Council to call OCM's.
10. Sections 5.8, 5.9 and 5.10 of the Act provides for the establishment of committees, determination of the type and appointment of members of committees.

11. Section 5.17 of the Act provides that Council can delegate some of its powers and duties to certain types of committees. Council has not delegated any powers or duties to a committee.

12. Section 5.25 (1) (g) of the Local Government Act 1995 provides for the giving of public notices of the date and agenda for council or committee meetings.

APPLICABLE POLICY

13. Nil

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

14. As this report recommends no change to current meeting structure or cycle, no stakeholder engagement has been undertaken.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

15. Acceptance of the recommendation will not result in any additional expenditure being incurred to that already included in the current or 2021/22 budget.

SUSTAINABILITY

Social Implications

16. Nil

Economic Implications

17. Nil

Environmental Implications

18. Nil
19. **Risk:** Council does not approve a schedule of meetings as required by the legislation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**
Ensure the council meets its legislative obligations by adopting a schedule of meetings.

### CONCLUSION

20. As per current practice no meetings have been scheduled for January 2021, in addition the October meeting has been brought forward for it to be held immediately prior to the Local Government Elections.

**Voting Requirements:** Simple Majority

### RECOMMENDATION

That Council SET the dates for meetings of the Public Agenda Briefing Forums and Ordinary Council Meetings as detailed in the Schedule (Attachment 1).
10.4.2. Corporate Business Plan - Quarterly Update - July to September 2020

Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Previous Items</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Directorate</td>
<td>Office of the CEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business Unit</td>
<td>People Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Reference</td>
<td>3.009509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>City of Kalamunda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Owner</td>
<td>City of Kalamunda</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attachments

1. Quarterly Corporate Plan Report July - September 2020 [10.4.2.1 - 49 pages]

TYPE OF REPORT

- **Advocacy** When Council is advocating on behalf of the community to another level of government/body/agency
- **Executive** When Council is undertaking its substantive role of direction setting and oversight (e.g., accepting tenders, adopting plans and budgets)
- **Information** For Council to note
- **Legislative** Includes adopting Local Laws, Town Planning Schemes and Policies. When Council determines a matter that directly impacts a person's rights and interests where the principles of natural justice apply. Examples include town planning applications, building licences, other permits or licences issued under other Legislation or matters that could be subject to appeal to the State Administrative Tribunal

STRATEGIC PLANNING ALIGNMENT

*Kalamunda Advancing Strategic Community Plan to 2027*

**Priority 4: Kalamunda Leads**

**Objective 4.1** - To provide leadership through transparent governance.

**Strategy 4.1.1** - Provide good governance.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The purpose of this report is to provide Council with the progress on the City of Kalamunda’s (City) achievement against “Kalamunda Achieving: Corporate Business Plan 2020-2024” for the period July to September 2020.

2. On average, actions from the Corporate Business Plan are 38.7% complete at the end of the first quarter, 30 September 2020.

3. It is recommended that Council notes the quarterly report for the Corporate Business Plan for the period July to September 2020.

BACKGROUND


5. The CBP outlines the major projects, including capital works and operational recurrent services for the City. It then links those projects and services to the Asset Plans, Long Term Financial Plan and Workforce Plan.

6. The CBP is a component of the City of Kalamunda’s integrated planning and reporting framework.

7. The Chief Executive Officer, Directors and Managers have individual performance objectives that are directly linked to their achievement of the CBP in 2020/21.

DETAILS AND ANALYSIS

8. The CBP is comprised of 4 priority areas, referred to as ‘goals’ in this report, being:
   1. Kalamunda Cares and Interacts
   2. Kalamunda Clean and Green
   3. Kalamunda Develops
   4. Kalamunda Leads

9. There are 120 individual actions set out within the CBP for the 2020/21 year. Progress reporting is provided as Attachment 1 to this report.

10. The report reflects the management progress report against the work schedule for each of the individual actions, as at 30 September 2020.

11. On average, the actions contained in the CBP are 38.7% complete. For most actions, the target at the end of the first quarter is 25%.
12. Achievement of target is measured by comparing the target completion % to the actual completion %. For example, if the target is 25%, but the action is actually 50% complete, this represents an achievement of 200%. The average achievement of target across the actions is 147%.

APPLICABLE LAW


APPLICABLE POLICY


STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

15. This report reflects input from Directors and Managers throughout the City.

16. Various external stakeholders and community members have been involved in the achievement of the CBP.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

17. This plan is delivered within the City's approved Annual Budget and Long Term Financial Plan.

SUSTAINABILITY

18. Nil.

RISK MANAGEMENT

19. | Risk: The City lacks transparency in its achievement of the statutory requirements of the Corporate Business Plan leading to reputational impacts |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Consequence</td>
<td>Likelihood</td>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Action/Strategy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quarterly reports are provided to Council of progress against the CBP and are publicly available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CONCLUSION

20. On average, actions from the Corporate Business Plan are 38.7% complete.

| Voting Requirements: Simple Majority |

RECOMMENDATION

10.4.3. Solar Panels and Batteries on Community Leased Buildings - Draft Policy

Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.

Previous
Items
Directorate
Business Unit
File Reference
Applicant
Owner

Attachments

TYPE OF REPORT

☐ Advocacy When Council is advocating on behalf of the community to another level of government/body/agency
☐ Executive When Council is undertaking its substantive role of direction setting and oversight (e.g., accepting tenders, adopting plans and budgets)
☐ Information For Council to note
☒ Legislative Includes adopting Local Laws, Town Planning Schemes and Policies. When Council determines a matter that directly impacts a person’s rights and interests where the principles of natural justice apply. Examples include town planning applications, building licences, other permits or licences issued under other Legislation or matters that could be subject to appeal to the State Administrative Tribunal

STRATEGIC PLANNING ALIGNMENT

Kalamunda Advancing Strategic Community Plan to 2027

Priority 2: Kalamunda Clean and Green
Objective 2.2 - To achieve environmental sustainability through effective natural resource management.
Strategy 2.2.2 - Use technology to produce innovative solutions to reduce power and water usage.
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The purpose of this report is to seek Council endorsement of a policy regarding funding support for the installation of solar photovoltaic panels and/or battery banks on buildings owned by the City of Kalamunda (City) that are leased to community groups.

2. In line with existing lease conditions, these lessees are responsible for the costs of electricity consumption on these buildings and some would like to explore ways to reduce their running costs through the use of solar power. A proposed policy would identify funding support for these initiatives.

3. It is recommended that Council endorse this policy and note that funding will be included in the draft 2021/22 Budget for consideration at that time.

BACKGROUND

4. Some community based groups have approached the City for permission to install solar panels on the roof of the building they lease from the City in order to reduce their running costs. It is noted that the large initial capital outlay does restrict groups from being able to undertake these works solely within their own financial reserves.

5. It is felt that development of a policy whereby the City may provide some initial funding support may allow these groups to have the works undertaken and thus reduce their running costs.

DETAILS AND ANALYSIS

6. The City provides access to its community buildings in two ways:
   a) hire fees on regular or ad hoc basis for parts of a building; and
   b) leasehold to community groups for substantive periods with a peppercorn rent.

7. The case of the building being a ‘hall for hire’ is not the subject of this policy. In these cases, the City is responsible for the cost of electricity for these buildings.

8. In the case of leased buildings, the community group holding the lease is responsible for the ongoing cost of utilities, including electricity. Groups are usually keen to see reduced running costs in order to maintain their viability.
9. The basis of this policy is that if funding was provided in each annual budget, groups can apply for funding support to have solar panels and/or battery storage systems within their leased premises. These systems would reduce running costs for the groups.

10. The draft policy is provided as Attachment 1 to this report. The core principles of the policy are:
   a) City will provide ‘up front’ financial support up to a cap of $20,000;
   b) the Community group will repay 50% of the funding support within a three year period;
   c) the assets created form part of the building infrastructure for City maintenance, management and eventual renewal;
   d) the Chief Executive Officer has authority to approve applications up to the annual budget provisions; and
   e) applications that do not meet criteria set out in the policy or were the annual budget has been expended shall be referred to Council for decision.

11. It is to be noted that this policy is not intended to apply to commercial organisations leasing buildings from the City.

APPLICABLE LAW


APPLICABLE POLICY

13. Draft policy subject of this report.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

14. This matter has been discussed with various community groups who have approached the City regarding solar panels.

15. If adopted, each community group who hold a lease for a building with the City will be advised of this policy.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

16. Subject of annual limits adopted in each budget from time to time.

SUSTAINABILITY

17. The use of renewable energy such as solar panels further improves the carbon footprint within the City.
RISK MANAGEMENT

18. **Risk:** That groups benefiting from the scheme fail to repay the 50% funding to the City

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

If an application was approved, the lease in place would be amended to reflect the repayment terms with non-compliance a breach of lease.

**Risk:** That a higher than budgeted number of applications are received each financial year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**

Council may consider further funding within a budget year.

CONCLUSION

19. This policy provides a means for community groups who don't have substantial reserves to be able to reduce their running costs for electricity as well as reduce their carbon footprint. Savings in electricity bills can be used to support the repayment plan.

**Voting Requirements:** Simple Majority

RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. ADOPT draft policy “City of Kalamunda Funding Support for Solar Panels with or without Battery Storage on a Community Leased Building” as per Attachment 1 to this report.

2. NOTE that an amount of $20,000 to provide funding support will be listed in the draft 2021/2022 Budget.
10.4.4. Federal Election Commitment at Hartfield Park - $2 Million - Identified Priority Projects

Declaration of financial / conflict of interests to be recorded prior to dealing with each item.

- Previous Items: N/A
- Directorate: Office of CEO
- Business Unit: Leisure Planning
- File Reference: 
- Applicant: City of Kalamunda
- Owner: City of Kalamunda
- Attachments: Nil

TYPE OF REPORT

- ☑ Advocacy: When Council is advocating on behalf of the community to another level of government/body/agency
- ☑ Executive: When Council is undertaking its substantive role of direction setting and oversight (e.g., accepting tenders, adopting plans and budgets)
- ☐ Information: For Council to note
- ☐ Legislative: Includes adopting Local Laws, Town Planning Schemes and Policies. When Council determines a matter that directly impacts a person's rights and interests where the principles of natural justice apply. Examples include town planning applications, building licences, other permits or licences issued under other Legislation or matters that could be subject to appeal to the State Administrative Tribunal

STRATEGIC PLANNING ALIGNMENT

Kalamunda Advancing Strategic Community Plan to 2027

Priority 1: Kalamunda Cares and Interacts

Objective 1.2 - To provide a safe and healthy environment for community to enjoy.

Strategy 1.2.3 - Provide high quality and accessible recreational and social spaces and facilities.

Strategy 1.2.2 - Advocate and promote healthy lifestyle choices by encouraging the community to become more physically active.

Strategy 1.2.1 - Facilitate a safe community environment.
Priority 1: Kalamunda Cares and Interacts

Objective 1.3 - To support the active participation of local communities.

Strategy 1.3.1 - Support local communities to connect, grow and shape the future of Kalamunda.

Priority 3: Kalamunda Develops

Objective 3.1 - To plan for sustainable population growth.

Strategy 3.1.1 - Plan for diverse and sustainable housing, community facilities and industrial development to meet changing social and economic needs.

Priority 3: Kalamunda Develops

Objective 3.2 - To connect community to quality amenities.

Strategy 3.2.1 - Optimal management of all assets.

Priority 4: Kalamunda Leads

Objective 4.1 - To provide leadership through transparent governance.

Strategy 4.1.1 - Provide good governance.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. The purpose of this report is for Council to endorse priority projects to inform the application process for the $2 million Federal Government Funding Commitment at Hartfield Park.

2. In May 2019, the Federal Government made a $2 million election commitment to the development of sporting infrastructure at Hartfield Park. This included a commitment of $500,000 towards new changerooms for the Forrestfield Football Club.

   In order to access this funding, the City of Kalamunda is required to submit a funding application to the Federal Government detailing its project priorities by 31 December 2020.

3. It is recommended that Council;

   a) ENDORSE the City of Kalamunda to submit a grant application to the Federal Government and to leverage the $2 million Federal Government funding commitment at Hartfield Park as per Option Four for the following priority projects:
      • Project One – AFL / Little Athletics Stage One at Reid Oval;
      • Project Two – Little Athletics – New long jump pits at Reid Oval;
      • Project Three – Rugby League Clubrooms;
      • Project Four – Bowling Club extension Stage 1; and
• Project Five – Bowling Club extension Stage 2: Co-location of Tennis with Bowls.

b) NOTE a future Community Sporting and Recreation Facilities Fund forward planning grant application will be submitted in September 2021 for the identified priority projects at Hartfield Park to meet the identified funding gap.

c) NOTE in the event of a successful CSRFF funding application an amount of up to $382,000 will need to be considered as part of the 2022/23 and 2023/24 annual budget deliberation process.

BACKGROUND

4. In May 2019, the Federal Government made a $2 million election commitment for the development of sporting infrastructure at Hartfield Park including a specific commitment of $500,000 towards new changerooms for the Forrestfield Football Club.

5. The intent of the election commitment was to kick start the development of collocated sporting infrastructure at Hartfield Park.

6. In order to access the funding, the City of Kalamunda is required to submit a funding application to the Federal Government detailing its project priorities by 31 December 2020.

7. In 2010, the City adopted the Hartfield Park Master Plan (HPMP), which identified the need to reduce single use sporting club facilities at the site by co-locating sporting groups into shared use sporting facilities.

8. In September 2018, the City received multiple applications through the City’s Capital Grants Program from Hartfield Park sporting users to upgrade their existing single use sporting club facilities.

9. In response to these requests, and in consideration of the original HPMP, the City engaged Dave Lanfear Consulting to undertake the Hartfield Park Master Plan (HPMP) Stage Two project (the Study), with a focus on co-locating multiple single use sporting facilities across Hartfield Park. The project has undertaken a detailed consultation process with the various users at Hartfield Park to identify their current and future facility needs.

10. The Study will continue to be progressed by Dave Lanfear Consulting and will be presented to Council at a future Council meeting.
11. The study's preliminary findings have identified a range of priority projects based on need. The value of these projects exceeds the $2 million Federal Government allocation, thus limiting the number priority projects that can be delivered within this allocation.

12. In order to allocate the $2 million Federal Government commitment, four potential funding strategy options have been developed for the consideration of Council.

13. Two of the funding options utilise the $2 million Federal Government allocation only. While the remaining two funding strategy options present an opportunity for the City to leverage the $2 million Federal Government allocation by applying for additional funding assistance through the State Government’s Community Sporting and Recreation Facilities Fund (CSRFF), and through a potential funding contribution from the City.

14. The CSRFF program aims to increase participation in sports and recreation with an emphasis on increasing physical activity through the provision of well-planned facilities. Projects that support female participation, such as unisex changerooms are considered a higher priority for the program.

15. The maximum CSRFF grant available will be no greater than one third of the total estimated project cost.

16. The following priority projects have been identified across the four funding strategy options are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Details:</th>
<th>*Cost Estimations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project One</strong> – AFL / Little Athletics Stage One – Two new senior changerooms, umpires’ room, first aid room, universally accessible toilet and storage at Reid Oval</td>
<td>$921,120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Two</strong> – Little Athletics – New long jumps at Reid Oval</td>
<td>$66,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Three</strong> – Rugby League Clubrooms – Two new senior changerooms, umpires room, first aid room, universally accessible toilet, storage and refurbishment of existing building.</td>
<td>$1,078,240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Four</strong> – Bowling Club extension Stage 1: New kitchen, servery and expanded social area to existing bowling club.</td>
<td>$472,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Five – Bowling Club extension Stage 2: Co-location of Tennis with Bowls including new tennis social area, new shared use areas including toilets, changerooms, storage and first aid room, two new tennis courts and minor car parking amendments.

$1,020,424

*Cost estimations are based on high level concept designs and inclusive of all preliminaries, project management fees and contingencies.

17. **Project Priorities and Funding Strategy Option One:**

This strategy utilises the $2 million Federal Government allocation only through the following projects:

- Project One;
- Project Two;
- Project Three (Note: Half the project scope is delivered, i.e. only two changerooms and universally accessible toilet; and
- Project Four.

Option One: Total Funding Breakdown:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Estimated Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Government</td>
<td>$2 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Kalamunda</td>
<td>$0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2 million</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18. **Project Priorities and Funding Strategy Option Two:**

This strategy utilises the $2 million Federal Government allocation with a minor City funding contribution through the following projects:

- Project One;
- Project Two; and
- Project Three.

Option Two – Total Funding Breakdown:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Estimated Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Government</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Kalamunda</td>
<td>$ 65,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$2.065M</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
19. **3. Project Priorities and Funding Strategy Option Three:**

This strategy leverages the $2 million Federal Government commitment by seeking to attain further external funding through the CSRFF program. This option does involve a City contribution. This strategy effectively turns $2 million into $3.557 million and allows for more users to benefit.

This strategy involves allocating a portion of the $2 million Federal Government commitment towards Project 1, thus allowing it to proceed as a priority project and is not subject to attracting CSRFF funding.

The balance of the $2 million Federal Government Commitment is allocated to the following projects, and they are subject to the City attaining external funding through the CSRFF program and the City’s annual budget deliberation processes:

- Project 2;
- Project 3;
- Project 4; and
- Project 5.

**Option Three – Total Funding Breakdown:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Estimated Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Government</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRFF</td>
<td>$ 867,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Kalamunda</td>
<td>$ 689,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3.557M</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
20. **Project Priorities and Funding Strategy Option Four:**

This strategy fully leverages the $2 million Federal Government commitment by seeking to attain further external funding through the CSRFF program. This option does involve a funding contribution from the City towards the priority projects. This strategy effectively turns $2 million into $3.557 million and allows for more users to benefit at a reduced cost to the City.

This strategy involves allocating the entire $2 million Federal Government Commitment to the following projects, however all projects are subject to the City attaining external funding through the CSRFF program and the City's annual budget deliberation processes:

- Project 1;
- Project 2;
- Project 3;
- Project 4; and
- Project 5.

Option Four – Total Funding Breakdown:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Estimated Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Government</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSRFF</td>
<td>$1,175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Kalamunda</td>
<td>$382,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3.557M</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

21. **Project Delivery Timeframes**

Hartfield Park is a registered Aboriginal Heritage Site, under the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972*, therefore all identified priority project approvals, in accordance with the Act, may require a Section 18 Notice including having applications referred to the South West Aboriginal Land and Sea Council (SWALSC).

22. The *Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972* is likely to be replaced with the *Aboriginal Heritage Act 2020*. This is anticipated to occur in the near future. Once legislated though, there will be a transition period of up to 12 months, where the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972 and its existing processes, will remain in effect.

23. In consideration that the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972 will likely still be in effect over the next 12 months and previous approval timelines for projects at Hartfield Park, the approval process for identified priority projects is anticipated to take up to 12 months.
24. Further influencing the delivery timeframe is the Application and Assessment process by the Federal Government for approval to utilise the $2 million Election Commitment. It is estimated based on recent funding applications with the Federal Government that this process can take up to 4 months, meaning the City would be notified of an outcome by April 2021.

25. The Section 18 Notice and Federal Government approval process would effectively mean identified priority projects would not be ready for delivery until the 2022/23 financial year. Therefore, the potential benefits of Option 3 in fast tracking Project 1 may not be realised any quicker than the other identified priority projects.

26. The next available CSRFF funding opportunity is the 2022/23 Forward Planning round. Applications for this round are due in September 2021, with the City advised of an outcome in early 2022.

If successful, funding would be available in the 2022/23 and potentially the 2023/24 financial years.

27. The anticipated Section 18 Notice outcome date is expected to coincide with the outcomes of the CSRFF program, which is anticipated in February/March 2022, thereby strengthening the rationale for Option Four (i.e. all projects to be considered by CSRFF).

28. Whilst pursuing Option Two, would be a simpler process in terms of utilising already secured grant funding, however it is unlikely to deliver projects any sooner due to requirement for SWALSC approvals.

29. **Options Analysis**

In consideration of the options available, the following information is noted:

**Option One:**
- Option One is not recommended as it will result in projects being delivered in an ad hoc manner that will complicate project delivery and likely lead to future costs to the City in retrofitting facilities.

**Option Two:**
- Option Two allocates the $2 million Federal Government commitment only, therefore does not take advantage of the leveraging opportunity.
- A smaller number of user groups benefit from this strategy, as less projects are able to be delivered. Identified projects are not subject to attaining any further funding.
31. **Option Three:**
   - Option Three partially leverages the $2 million Federal Government commitment, however, Project 1 is progressed as a priority and not subject to attaining CSRFF funding.
   - Option Three enables additional user groups to benefit and for additional projects to be delivered, however, it will require a total City contribution of $689,000 over two financial years. This option requires the highest funding contribution from the City.
   - Due to the potential delay resulting from the SWALSC approval process, Project 1 is unlikely to be able to be delivered faster than the other projects.

32. **Option Four:**
   - Option Four fully leverages the $2 million Federal Government commitment, enabling the City to attract additional external funding towards the priority projects.
   - Option Four enables additional projects to be delivered, resulting in additional user groups benefiting.
   - The total City contribution towards this option is $382,000 over two financial years, which is significantly reduced compared to Option Three.

33. In summary, the Section 18 Notice approval process required at Hartfield Park and Federal Government grant application process, will delay all projects up to 12 months. Therefore, the best approach for the City from both a financial and project delivery perspective is to proceed with Option Four. This would enable the City to maximise the available funding opportunities, effectively achieve more projects that will benefit more user groups, at a reduced cost to the City.

**APPLICABLE LAW**

34. Local Government Act 1995 Section 3.18 (c) - Effective Management of Local Government Services and Facilities.


**APPLICABLE POLICY**

36. Nil.
STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT

37. The identified priority projects have been developed based on consultation with the various user groups that has informed the Study. This consultation process included:
   a) A user group survey;
   b) A site tour of the facilities by the Consultant;
   c) A workshop with each of the user groups; and
   d) One on one discussions between the user groups and Consultant.

38. The City has consulted with the Federal Government's Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communities regarding the application process for the $2 million funding commitment at Hartfield Park.

39. The DLGSC has advised that the identified priority projects are eligible for the CSRFF program. Projects that increase female participation in sport and recreation, such as change rooms are considered a higher priority for the CSRFF program.

40. The City has consulted with the Department of Lands, Planning and Heritage (DLPH) regarding the changes to the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972 and its effect on the approval process and timelines. The City will continue to liaise with the DLPH, Western Australian Local Government Association and SWALSC on the matter.

41. Further consultation will be undertaken with the relevant users during the detailed design phases of the individual projects and through the Study.

FINANCIAL CONSIDERATIONS

42. Based on the City proceeding with Option Four, the overall cost of implementing the five priority projects is estimated at $3.556 million. It should be noted that at this stage these figures are high level indicative costs only, inclusive of preliminaries, contingencies and professional fees. Detailed capital and whole of life costings will be developed prior to the implementation of each project.

43. The proposed funding strategy for Option Four is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Funding Source</th>
<th>Estimated Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Federal Government Election Commitment</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Government – CSRFF Program</td>
<td>$1,175,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Kalamunda</td>
<td>$382,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTALS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$3.557M</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
44. Future projects, that will require a City of Kalamunda funding contribution will be considered as part of Council's annual budget deliberation processes and considered against other competing priorities, which is anticipated to be in the 2022/23 and the 2023/24 financial years.

45. The Study will form part of the City's advocacy approach in the lead up to the 2021 State Election.

SUSTAINABILITY

Social Implications

46. Investment in Hartfield Park with updated sport and recreation facilities increases the value of the City's assets, provides benefits to the local community, improves community safety and enhances the user and player experiences for their training and competitions.

47. Specifically, the identified projects will provide unisex changeroom facilities for female players and universally accessible toilets. These amenities will ensure the City provides adequate facilities for its whole community to utilise and support the growth of female sport.

48. As Hartfield Park is an Aboriginal Heritage site, under the Aboriginal Heritage Act 1972, the identified priority projects will need to obtain approvals through the Section 18 Notice.

Economic Implications

49. The proposed projects will assist in providing local employment opportunities and provide increased economic activity to the City of Kalamunda during the construction phase.

Environmental Implications

50. Sustainability design options such as the use of LED lighting and solar panels and other energy saving options will be considered during the detailed design phase for each project.
RISK MANAGEMENT

51. Risk: That the Federal Government grant application is not approved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Critical</td>
<td>Unlikely</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**
The Study has preliminary identified the priority projects and the best long term implementation plan for the site. Identified priority projects align with the original intent of the Federal Government election commitment and their recent policies to support female sport and shared use facilities.

52. Risk: That the leveraging strategy does not attract CSRFF funding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Likelihood</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Major</td>
<td>Possible</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Action/Strategy**
The projects that the City is seeking CSRFF funding support for are considered a high priority for the CSRFF program i.e. Unisex changerooms and shared use facilities.

If CSRFF funding is not successful, then Council will need to reconsider the options available.

CONCLUSION

53. In summary, the SWALSC approval process required at Hartfield Park and Federal Government grant application process, will delay all projects up to 12 months. Therefore, the best approach for the City from both a financial and project delivery perspective is to proceed with Option Four. This would enable the City to maximise the available funding opportunities, effectively achieve more projects and benefit more user groups.

54. As part of the application process the City will advise the Federal Government of the identified priority projects for the $2 million Hartfield Park Election Commitment as per Option Four or as determined by Council.
RECOMMENDATION

That Council:

1. ENDORSE the City of Kalamunda to submit a grant application to the Federal Government and to leverage the $2 million Federal Government funding commitment at Hartfield Park as per Option Four for the following priority projects:
   a) Project One – AFL / Little Athletics Stage One at Reid Oval;
   b) Project Two – Little Athletics – New long jump pits at Reid Oval;
   c) Project Three – Rugby League Clubrooms;
   d) Project Four – Bowling Club extension Stage 1; and
   e) Project Five – Bowling Club extension Stage 2: Co-location of Tennis with Bowls.

2. NOTE a future Community Sporting and Recreation Facilities Fund forward planning grant application will be submitted in September 2021 for the identified priority projects at Hartfield Park to meet the identified funding gap.

3. NOTE in the event of a successful CSRFF funding application an amount of up to $382,000 will need to be considered as part of the 2022/23 and 2023/24 annual budget deliberation process.
11. Closure